arXiv:0909.3245v1 [math.DS] 17 Sep 2009

$\mathbb{R}$-HOLOMORPHIC SOLUTIONS AND $\mathbb{R}$-DIFFERENTIABLE INTEGRALS OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

V.N. Gorbuzov*, **A.F. Pranevich****

**Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yanka Kupala Grodno State University,*
Ozeshko 22, Grodno, 230023, Belarus
E-mail: gorbuzov@grsu.by

***Department of Economics and Management, Yanka Kupala Grodno State University,*
Ozeshko 22, Grodno, 230023, Belarus
E-mail: pronevich@tut.by

Abstract

We consider multidimensional differential systems (total differential systems and partial differential systems) with $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable coefficients. We investigate the problem of the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solutions, $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals, and last multipliers. The theorem of existence and uniqueness of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution is proved. The necessary conditions and criteria for the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals, partial integrals, and last multipliers are given. For a completely solvable total differential equation with $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic right hand side are constructed the classification of $\mathbb{R}$-singular points of solutions and proved sufficient conditions that equation have no movable nonalgebraical $\mathbb{R}$-singular points. The spectral method for building $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals for linear homogeneous first-order partial differential systems with $\mathbb{R}$-linear coefficients is developed.

Key words: total differential system; partial differential system; $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution; $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral, partial integral, and last multiplier; $\mathbb{R}$-singular point.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A25, 35F05, 58A17.

Contents

1. System of total differential equations[1] 2

1.1. Introduction 2
1.2. $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic functions 3
1.3. The Cauchy existence and uniqueness theorem for an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution 4
1.4. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals and last multipliers 5
  1.4.1. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integrals 6
  1.4.2. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals 10
  1.4.3. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multipliers 12
1.5. $\mathbb{R}$-regular solutions of an algebraic equation have no movable nonalgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point 13

2. System of first-order partial differential equations[2] 16

2.1. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals and last multipliers 16

---

[1] This Section has been published in *Vestsi Nats. Akad. Navuk Belarusi* (Ser. fiz.-matem. Navuk), 1999, No. 3, 124-126; *DifferentialEquations and Control Processes* (http://www.neva.ru/journal), 2008, No. 1, 35-49; *Differential Equations* 35 (1999), No. 4, 447-452.

[2] Section 2 has been published in *Vestnik of the Yanka Kupala Grodno State Univ.*, 2005, Ser. 2, No. 1(31), 45-52; Proceedings of Scientific Conference "*Herzen Readings*-2004" (Ed. Professor V.F. Zaitsev), Russian State University of Pedagogic, Saint-Petersburg, Russia, 2004, 65-70.

2.1.1. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality partial integrals 17
2.1.2. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality first integrals 20
2.1.3. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality last multipliers 21
2.2. First integrals of linear homogeneous system with $\mathbb{R}$-linear coefficients 23
2.2.1. $\mathbb{R}$-linear partial integral 23
2.2.2. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals 24
References 27

1. System of total differential equations

1.1. Introduction

Let us consider a system of total differential equations

$$dw = X_1(z,w)\,dz + X_2(z,w)\,d\overline{z}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $w=(w_1,\dots,w_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $z=(z_1,\dots,z_m)\in\mathbb{C}^m$; the entries of the $n\times m$ matrices $X_1(z,w)=\|X_{\xi j}(z,w)\|$ and $X_2(z,w)=\|X_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\|$ are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable [1, pp. 33 – 35; 2, p. 22] in a domain $G\subset\mathbb{C}^{m+n}$ scalar functions $X_{\xi l}\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$, $\xi=1,\dots,n$, $l=1,\dots,2m$; $dw=\operatorname{colon}(dw_1,\dots,dw_n)$, $dz=\operatorname{colon}(dz_1,\dots,dz_m)$, and $d\overline{z}=\operatorname{colon}(d\overline{z}_1,\dots,d\overline{z}_m)$ are vector columns; the $\overline{z}_j$ are the complex conjugates of z_j, $j=1,\dots,m$.

The notion of an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable function is consistent with the approach of I.N.Vekua [3] and G. N. Položii [4] in the case of one complex variable and É. I. Grudo [5] in the case of two complex variables. Let $u\colon V\to\mathbb{C}$ be a one variable $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable function on the domain $V\subset\mathbb{C}$. The function u is *holomorphic* if $\partial_{\overline{z}}\,u(z)=0$ for all $z\in V$. The function u is called *antiholomorphic* if $\partial_z u(z)=0$ for all $z\in V$ [1, p. 42]. The function u is said to be (p,q)-*analytical* if $(p(x,y)-iq(x,y))\partial_{\overline{z}}\operatorname{Re}u(z)+i\partial_{\overline{z}}\operatorname{Im}u(z)=0$ for all $(x,y)\in V$ and $p(x,y)>0$ for all $(x,y)\in V$ [4]. If $\partial_{\overline{z}}\,u(z)+A(z)u(z)+B(z)\overline{u}(z)=C(z)$ for all $z\in V$, then we say that u is a *generalized analytic* function [3]. In the case of several complex variables, the theorem of existence and uniqueness of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution for first-order partial differential system was proved in [6]. The spectral method for building first integrals of completely solvable multidimensional $\mathbb{R}$-linear differential systems was elaborated [7 – 9].

In this paper we study the problem of the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solutions, $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals, partial integrals, and last multipliers for total differential systems (Section 1) and partial differential systems (Section 2). The article is organized as follows.

In Subsection 1.2 we define the basic notions of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic functions and $\mathbb{R}$-singular points. There we also investigate some relations between them.

In Subsection 1.3 we consider the completely solvable total differential system (1.1) with $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic right hand side. The theorem of existence and uniqueness of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution (analogous to the Cauchy theorem) is proved.

In Subsection 1.4 we investigate the problem of the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals and last multipliers for the system of total differential equations (1.1). The necessary conditions and criteria for the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals, $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integrals, and $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multipliers are given.

In Subsection 1.5, for a completely solvable total differential equation with $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic right hand side are constructed the classification of $\mathbb{R}$-singular points of solutions and proved sufficient conditions that equation have no movable nonalgebraical $\mathbb{R}$-singular points (analogous to the Painleve theorem and Fuchsian's theorem).

In Subsection 2.1 the necessary conditions and criteria for the existence of $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals and last multipliers of linear homogeneous partial differential systems are given.

In Subsection 2.2 the spectral method for building $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals for linear homogeneous first-order partial differential systems with $\mathbb{R}$-linear coefficients is developed.

1.2. $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic functions

Definition 1.1. *A function $g\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$, is said to be $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at a point $z_0=(z_1^0,\dots,z_m^0)\in\mathcal{D}$ if there exists a neighborhood $U(z_0)\subset\mathcal{D}$ of the point z_0 such that in this neighborhood the function g can be represented by the absolutely convergent function series*

$$g(z)=\sum_{k_1+l_1+\ldots+k_m+l_m=0}^{+\infty} c_{k_1l_l\ldots k_ml_m}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\big(z_j-z_j^0\big)^{k_j}\big(\overline{z}_j-\overline{z}_j^{\,0}\big)^{l_j}\quad \text{for all } z\in U(z_0), \tag{1.2}$$

where $c_{k_1l_l\ldots k_ml_m}\in\mathbb{C}$ and the exponents k_j and l_j are nonnegative integers.

The term $\mathbb{R}$-*holomorphic* is introduced by analogy with the term $\mathbb{R}$-*differentiable*. Indeed, it follows from the absolute convergence of the series (1.2) that the real and imaginary parts in the representation $g=u+iv$ of an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function are real holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of the point (x_0,y_0), where $x_0=\operatorname{Re} z_0$ and $y_0=\operatorname{Im} z_0$.

Definition 1.2. *A function $\overline{g}\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$, is said to be conjugate to the $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function* (1.2) *at a point $z_0\in\mathcal{D}$ if in some neighborhood $U(z_0)\subset\mathcal{D}$ of the point z_0 the function $\overline{g}$ can be represented by the function series*

$$\overline{g}(z)=\sum_{k_1+l_1+\ldots+k_m+l_m=0}^{+\infty} \overline{c}_{k_1l_l\ldots k_ml_m}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\big(\overline{z}_j-\overline{z}_j^{\,0}\big)^{k_j}\big(z_j-z_j^0\big)^{l_j}\quad \text{for all } z\in U(z_0). \tag{1.3}$$

We can readily see that this is well defined, since the sets of absolute convergence of the function series (1.2) and (1.3) coincide; moreover, $\overline{g}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at the point z_0.

Since an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function of m independent variables z_j, $j=1,\dots,m$, can be obtained from a holomorphic fuction of $2m$ independent variables u_j and v_j, $j=1,\dots,m$, via the correspondence

$$u_j\mapsto z_j,\quad v_j\mapsto\overline{z}_j,\quad j=1,\dots,m, \tag{1.4}$$

we have the following assertions.

Proposition 1.1. *Let functions $g_1\colon\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$ and $g_2\colon\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$, be $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at a point $z_0\in\mathcal{D}$. Then the relations*

$$\begin{gathered}\overline{g_1(z)+g_2(z)}=\overline{g}_1(z)+\overline{g}_2(z),\qquad \overline{g_1(z)\cdot g_2(z)}=\overline{g}_1(z)\cdot\overline{g}_2(z),\\ \overline{D_{z_j}\,g_1(z)}=D_{\overline{z}_j}\,\overline{g}_1(z),\quad \overline{D_{\overline{z}_j}\,g_1(z)}=D_{z_j}\,\overline{g}_1(z),\quad j=1,\dots,m,\end{gathered}\tag{1.5}$$

are valid in some heighborhood $U(z_0)\subset\mathcal{D}$ of the point z_0.

Proposition 1.2 [10, p. 33]. *If a function that is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a domain $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ identically vanishes in some neighborhood $U\subset\mathcal{D}$, then this function identically vanishes in the entire domain $\mathcal{D}$.*

Corollary 1.1. *If two functions $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a domain $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ coincide in some neighborhood $U\subset\mathcal{D}$, then they coincide in the entire domain $\mathcal{D}$.*

This corollary allows one to use the method of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic continuation for an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function and hence consider multivalued $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic functions.

Definition 1.3. *An $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function $g\colon\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$, is said to be $\mathbb{R}$-regular at a point $z_0\in\mathcal{D}$ if*

$$\operatorname{rank}\left\|\begin{matrix} D_{z_1}g(z_0) & \dots & D_{z_m}g(z_0) & D_{\overline{z}_1}g(z_0) & \dots & D_{\overline{z}_m}g(z_0)\\ D_{z_1}\overline{g}(z_0) & \dots & D_{z_m}\overline{g}(z_0) & D_{\overline{z}_1}\overline{g}(z_0) & \dots & D_{\overline{z}_m}\overline{g}(z_0)\end{matrix}\right\|=2;$$

otherwise, it is said to be $\mathbb{R}$-singular.

The possibility of $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic continuation allows one to consider $\mathbb{R}$-singular points, that is, points in a neighborhood of which an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function does not admit an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic continuation.

Let an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function $g\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$ take the value $g(a)=g^a$ at a point $a\in\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ and satisfy the equation $\Phi(g,z)=0$, where Φ is an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function of its arguments in the neighborhood of the point $(g^a,a)\in V\subset\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$; moreover, $\Phi(g,a)\not\equiv 0$. The point a is referred to as an *algebraic critical* $\mathbb{R}$*-singular point* of the function g if

$$\left|\partial_g\Phi(g^a,a)\right|^2 - \left|\partial_{\overline{g}}\,\Phi(g^a,a)\right|^2 = 0$$

and the function g is not $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at the point a.

Suppose that an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function $g\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$ has the form $g(z)=1/f(z)$ and $f(a)=0$; in this case, the following definitions will be used: 1) if the function f is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at the point a, then this point is referred to as an $\mathbb{R}$*-pole* of the function g; 2) if a is an algebraic critical $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the function f, then this point is referred to as a *critical* $\mathbb{R}$*-pole* of the function g. Algebraic critical $\mathbb{R}$-singular points, $\mathbb{R}$-poles, and critical $\mathbb{R}$-poles are referred to as *algebraic* $\mathbb{R}$*-singular points.*

Let a point a be a nonalgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic function $g\colon\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{C}$. In each plane z_j we take the circle $|z_j-a_j|=r_j$, $j=1,\ldots,m$, where $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_m)$. By $\triangle_r$ we denote the set of values that are taken by the function g or to which it tends for its various $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic continuations into the polydisk $|z_j-a_j|<r_j$, $j=1,\ldots,m$. If $r_j\to 0$, $j=1,\ldots,m$, then the set $\triangle_r$ tends to some limit set $\triangle_a g$. If $\triangle_a g$ is a singleton, then the point a is referred to as a *transcendental* $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the function g. If the set $\triangle_a g$ contains more than one point, then the point a is referred to as a $\triangle$*-essentially* $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the function g.

For example, the function $g\colon z_1\to z_1^2+z_1\overline{z}_1$ for all $z_1\in\mathbb{C}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic on the entire complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ but is not holomorphic, since on $\mathbb{C}$ there is no point in whose neighborhood the Cauchy-Riemann conditions are satisfied. The point $z_1=0$ is

a) an algebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point for the function $g(z_1)=\sqrt{\overline{z}_1}\,z_1$;

b) an $\mathbb{R}$-pole for the function $g(z_1)=(1+z_1+\overline{z}_1)/z_1$;

c) a transcendental $\mathbb{R}$-singular point for the function $g(z_1)=\ln(\overline{z}_1+z_1^2)$;

d) a $\triangle$-essentially $\mathbb{R}$-singular point for the function $g(z_1)=\exp(1/\overline{z}_1)$ ($\triangle_0 g=\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by analogy with the Sokhotskii theorem for an antiholomorphic function) and for $g(z_1)=z_1/\overline{z}_1$ (along any path $L_0\colon k\exp(i\omega_0)$, $0\leqslant k<+\infty$, the function tends to $\exp(2i\omega_0)$, for $\omega_0\in[0;2\pi)$ these limit values form the circle $|z_1|=1$; therefore, $\triangle_0 g$ is not a singleton).

1.3. The Cauchy existence and uniqueness theorem for an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution

We assume that $X_{\xi l}\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$, $\xi=1,\ldots,n$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$, are $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic functions in the domain G. Moreover, we consider system of total differential equations (1.1) for the case in which it is completely solvable, i.e., the Frobenius conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{z_\zeta}X_{\tau j}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\bigl(X_{\xi\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{w_\xi}X_{\tau j}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi,m+\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}X_{\tau j}(z,w)\bigr) =\\
&= \partial_{z_j}X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\bigl(X_{\xi j}(z,w)\,\partial_{w_\xi}X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\,\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w)\bigr),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{\overline{z}_\zeta}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\bigl(X_{\xi,m+\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{w_\xi}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w)\bigr) =\\
&= \partial_{\overline{z}_j}X_{\tau,m+\zeta}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\bigl(X_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\,\partial_{w_\xi}X_{\tau,m+\zeta}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi j}(z,w)\,\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}X_{\tau,m+\zeta}(z,w)\bigr),
\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

$$\partial_{z_\zeta}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\bigl(X_{\xi\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{w_\xi}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi,m+\zeta}(z,w)\,\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}X_{\tau,m+j}(z,w)\bigr) =$$

$$= \partial_{\overline{z}_j} X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w) + \sum_{\xi=1}^{n} \big(X_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\, \partial_{w_\xi} X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w) + \overline{X}_{\xi j}(z,w)\, \partial_{\overline{w}_\xi} X_{\tau\zeta}(z,w) \big)$$

$$\text{for all } (z,w)\in G,\ \ \tau=1,\ldots,n,\ \ j=1,\ldots,m,\ \ \zeta=1,\ldots,m,$$

are satisfied [11 – 13].

Theorem 1.1. *If the functions* $X_{\xi l}\colon G\to\mathbb{C},\ \xi=1,\ldots,n,\ l=1,\ldots,2m,$ *are* ℝ*-holomorphic at a point* $(z_0,w_0)\in G,$ *then a completely solvable in the domain* G *system of total differential equations* (1.1) *has a unique solution* $w=w(z)$ ℝ*-holomorphic at the point* z_0 *and satisfying the initial condition* $w(z_0)=w_0.$

Proof. Taking into account properties (1.5), we construct the system conjugate to (1.1):

$$d\overline{w} = \overline{X}_2(z,w)\,dz + \overline{X}_1(z,w)\,d\overline{z}\,, \tag{1.7}$$

for which the complete solvability conditions $(\overline{1.6})$ conjugate to (1.6) are satisfied in the $G.$

The functions $X_{\xi l}\colon G\to\mathbb{C},$ which are ℝ-holomorphic in the domain $G,$ can be treated as functions $h_{\xi l}\colon \Omega\to\mathbb{C}$ holomorphic in the domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^{2(m+n)}$ and such that

$$h_{\xi l}(z,\overline{z},w,\overline{w}\,) = X_{\xi l}(z,w),\quad \xi=1,\ldots,n,\ \ l=1,\ldots,2m.$$

Using a correspondence similar to (1.4), on the basis of differential system (1.1)∪(1.7) under conditions (1.6)∪$(\overline{1.6}\,)$ we construct the system

$$dx_\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{2m} h_{\xi l}(t,x)\,dt_l,\quad dx_{n+\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\big(\overline{h}_{\xi,m+j}(t,x)\,dt_j + \overline{h}_{\xi j}(t,x)\,dt_{m+j}\big),\ \ \xi=1,\ldots,n, \tag{1.8}$$

with the independent variables $(t_1,\ldots,t_{2m})=t$ and the dependent variables $(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n})=x.$ This is a completely solvable system, and therefore (e.g., see [14, p. 26]), it has a unique solution $x=x(t)$ holomorphic at the point $t_0=(t_1^0,\ldots,t_{2m}^0)$ and satisfying the initial condition $x(t_0)=x_0,$ where the point $(t_0,x_0)\in\Omega,$ $(z_0,\overline{z}_0)\mapsto t_0,$ $(w_0,\overline{w}_0)\mapsto x_0.$

Since $w=\varphi_1(z)$ and $\overline{w}=\varphi_2(z)$ are solutions of system (1.1)∪(1.7) ℝ-holomorphic at the point $z_0,$ it follows that the functions $w=\overline{\varphi}_2(z)$ and $\overline{w}=\overline{\varphi}_1(z),$ ℝ-holomorphic at the point $z_0,$ are also solutions. Therefore, system (1.1)∪(1.7) under conditions (1.6)∪$(\overline{1.6}\,)$ has the unique solution $w=w(z),$ $\overline{w}=\overline{w}(z)$ ℝ-holomorphic at the point z_0 and satisfying the initial conditions $w(z_0)=w_0$ and $\overline{w}(z_0)=\overline{w}_0.$ One can obtain it from the solution $x=x(t)$ of system (1.8) holomorphic at the point t_0 and satisfying the initial condition $x(t_0)=x_0$ with the help of the correspondence used when deriving system (1.8).

Since system (1.1)∪(1.7) splits into systems (1.1) and (1.7), it follows that the original system (1.1) equipped with condition (1.6) has a unique ℝ-holomorphic solution at the point z_0 with the initial data $(z_0,w_0)\in G.$ ■

Theorem 1.1 is a counterpart of the well-known Cauchy theorem on a holomorphic solution for the case of ℝ-holomorphic solutions; therefore, it is naturally referred to as the Cauchy existence and uniqueness theorem for an ℝ-holomorphic solution.

By [15], the completely solvable system (1.8) has no holomorphic solutions (except for the holomorphic solution of the Cauchy problem with the initial condition $x(t_0)=x_0$ and $(t_0,x_0)\in\Omega$) that are not holomorphic at the point t_0 and tend to x_0 as $t_l\to t_l^0$ along some paths $\gamma_l,$ $l=1,\ldots,2m.$ Just as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, hence we obtain the following property of ℝ-holomorphic solution of the system (1.1).

Theorem 1.2 [15]. *System* (1.1) *completely solvable in the domain* G *does not have an* ℝ*-holomorphic solution that is not* ℝ*-holomorphic at* z_0 *and tends to* w_0 *as* $z\to z_0$ *along some path* $\gamma,$ *where* $(z_0,w_0)\in G.$

1.4. ℝ-differentiable integrals and last multipliers

For the unambiguous understanding of our notions we follow [16, p. 29; 17, p. 81; 18; 19, pp. 161 – 178] and introduce the definitions.

An $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on a domain G' function: i) $F\colon G'\to\mathbb{C}$; ii) $f\colon G'\to\mathbb{C}$; iii) $\mu\colon G'\to\mathbb{C}$ is called i) a *first integral*; ii) a *partial integral*; iii) a *last multiplier* of the system of total differential equations (1.1) if and only if

i) $\mathfrak{X}_l F(z,w)=0$ for all $(z,w)\in G'$, $l=1,\dots,2m$, $G'\subset G$;

ii) $\mathfrak{X}_l f(z,w)=\Phi_l(f;z,w)$ for all $(z,w)\in G'$, where $\Phi_l(0;z,w)\equiv 0$, $l=1,\dots,2m$;

iii) $\mathfrak{X}_l\mu(z,w)=-\mu(z,w)\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{X}_l(z,w)$ for all $(z,w)\in G'$, $l=1,\dots,2m$,

where the linear differential operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_j(z,w)=\partial_{z_j}+\sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\big(X_{\xi j}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\overline{X}_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}\big)\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,\quad j=1,\dots,m,$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{m+j}(z,w)=\partial_{\overline{z}_j}+\sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\big(X_{\xi,m+j}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\overline{X}_{\xi j}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_\xi}\big)\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,\ j=1,\dots,m.$$

The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral F (partial integral f and last multiplier μ) of the system of total differential equations (1.1) is called (s_1,s_2)-*nonautonomous* [20; 21] if

(i) F (f and μ) is holomorphic of $m-s_2$ independent variables;

(ii) F (f and μ) is antiholomorphic of $m-s_1$ independent variables.

The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral F (partial integral f and last multiplier μ) of the total differential system (1.1) is called $(n-k_1,n-k_2)$-*cylindricality* [10; 20; 21] if

(i) F (f and μ) is holomorphic of $n-k_2$ dependent variables;

(ii) F (f and μ) is antiholomorphic of $n-k_1$ dependent variables.

1.4.1. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integrals. Suppose the total differential system (1.1) has an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable (s_1,s_2)-nonautonomous $(n-k_1,n-k_2)$-cylindricality partial integral

$$f\colon (z,w)\to f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\quad \text{for all } (z,w)\in G', \tag{1.9}$$

where $s=(s_1,s_2)$, $k=(n-k_1,n-k_2)$. We can assume without loss of generality that f is an antiholomorphic function of $z_{s_1+1},\dots,z_m$, $w_{k_1+1},\dots,w_n$ and f is a holomorphic function of $z_{j_{s_2+1}},\dots,z_{j_m},w_{\zeta_{k_2+1}},\dots,w_{\zeta_n}$ $(j_\beta\in\{1,\dots,m\},\beta=s_2+1,\dots,m,\zeta_\delta\in\{1,\dots,n\},\delta=k_2+1,\dots,n)$.

Then, in accordance with the definition of a partial integral,

$$\mathfrak{X}_{lsk}f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\Phi_l(f;z,w)\quad\text{for all } (z,w)\in G',\quad l=1,\dots,2m, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\Phi_l(0;z,w)=0$ for all $(z,w)\in G'$, $l=1,\dots,2m$; the linear differential operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\theta sk}(z,w)=\partial_{z_\theta}+\sum_{\xi=1}^{k_1}X_{\xi\theta}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\sum_{\tau=1}^{k_2}\overline{X}_{\zeta_\tau,m+\theta}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_\tau}}\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\eta sk}(z,w)=\sum_{\xi=1}^{k_1}X_{\xi\eta}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\sum_{\tau=1}^{k_2}\overline{X}_{\zeta_\tau,m+\eta}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_\tau}}\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{m+j_g,sk}(z,w)=\partial_{\overline{z}_{j_g}}+\sum_{\xi=1}^{k_1}X_{\xi,m+j_g}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\sum_{\tau=1}^{k_2}\overline{X}_{\zeta_\tau j_g}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_\tau}}\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{m+j_\nu,sk}(z,w)=\sum_{\xi=1}^{k_1}X_{\xi,m+j_\nu}(z,w)\partial_{w_\xi}+\sum_{\tau=1}^{k_2}\overline{X}_{\zeta_\tau j_\nu}(z,w)\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_\tau}}\ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,$$

$$\theta=1,\dots,s_1,\quad \eta=s_1+1,\dots,m,\quad g=1,\dots,s_2,\quad \nu=s_2+1,\dots,m,$$

with $j_g \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, $j_\nu \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, $\zeta_\tau \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ (if $J_g = \{j_g \colon g = 1,\dots,s_2\}$ and $J_\nu = \{j_\nu \colon \nu = s_2+1,\dots,m\}$, then $J_g \cap J_\nu = \varnothing$ and $\operatorname{Card} J_g \cup J_\nu = m$).

System (1.10) implies that the functions from the sets

$$\begin{gathered}
\bigl\{1, X_{1\theta}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1\theta}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1,m+\theta}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2},m+\theta}(z,w)\bigr\}, \quad \theta = 1,\dots,s_1,\\
\bigl\{X_{1\eta}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1\eta}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1,m+\eta}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2},m+\eta}(z,w)\bigr\}, \quad \eta = s_1+1,\dots,m,\\
\bigl\{1, X_{1,m+j_g}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1,m+j_g}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1 j_g}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2}, j_g}(z,w)\bigr\}, \quad g = 1,\dots,s_2,\\
\bigl\{X_{1,m+j_\nu}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1,m+j_\nu}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1 j_\nu}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2}, j_\nu}(z,w)\bigr\}, \quad \nu = s_2+1,\dots,m,
\end{gathered} \tag{1.11}$$

are linearly bound[3] [22, p. 90; 23, pp. 113 – 114] on the integral manifold

$$f({}^s z, {}^k w) = 0. \tag{1.12}$$

Therefore the Wronskians of the functions from the sets (1.11) with respect to z_α, $\overline{z}_{j_\beta}$, and with respect to w_γ, $\overline{w}_{\zeta_\delta}$ ($\alpha = s_1+1,\dots,m$, $\beta = s_2+1,\dots,m$, $\gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n$, $\delta = k_2+1,\dots,n$) vanish identically on the manifold (1.12), i.e., the system of identities

$$\begin{gathered}
W_\chi\bigl(1, {}^\lambda X^\theta(z,w)\bigr) = \Psi_{\theta\chi}(f;z,w) \quad \text{for all } (z,w)\in G, \quad \theta = 1,\dots,s_1,\\
W_\chi\bigl({}^\lambda X^\eta(z,w)\bigr) = \Psi_{\eta\chi}(f;z,w) \quad \text{for all } (z,w)\in G, \quad \eta = s_1+1,\dots,m,\\
W_\chi\bigl(1, {}^\lambda X^{m+j_g}(z,w)\bigr) = \Psi_{m+j_g,\chi}(f;z,w) \quad \text{for all } (z,w)\in G, \quad g = 1,\dots,s_2,\\
W_\chi\bigl({}^\lambda X^{m+j_\nu}(z,w)\bigr) = \Psi_{m+j_\nu,\chi}(f;z,w) \quad \text{for all } (z,w)\in G, \quad \nu = s_2+1,\dots,m,
\end{gathered} \tag{1.13}$$

is consistent. Here W_χ are the Wronskians with respect to χ (the variable χ ranges over z_α, $\alpha = s_1+1,\dots,m$, $\overline{z}_{j_\beta}$, $\beta = s_2+1,\dots,m$, w_γ, $\gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n$, $\overline{w}_{\zeta_\delta}$, $\delta = k_2+1,\dots,n$); the number $\lambda = k_1 + k_2$; the vector functions

$$\begin{gathered}
{}^\lambda X^j \colon (z,w) \to \bigl(X_{1j}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1 j}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1,m+j}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2}, m+j}(z,w)\bigr),\\
{}^\lambda X^{m+j} \colon (z,w) \to \bigl(X_{1,m+j}(z,w),\dots,X_{k_1,m+j}(z,w),\overline{X}_{\zeta_1 j}(z,w),\dots,\overline{X}_{\zeta_{k_2} j}(z,w)\bigr)\\
\text{for all } (z,w)\in G, \quad j = 1,\dots,m;
\end{gathered}$$

$\Psi_{l\chi} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable functions of z and w on the domain G and $\Psi_{l\chi}(0;z,w) \equiv 0$, $l = 1,\dots,2m$. Thus, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 1.3. *For the system of total differential equations* (1.1) *to have a partial integral of the form* (1.9) *it is necessary that* (1.13) *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.2. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(s_1, 0)$*-nonautonomous* $(n-k_1, n)$*-cylindricality holomorphic partial integral of the form* (1.9) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $s_2 = 0$, $k_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.3. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(0, s_2)$*-nonautonomous* $(n, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality antiholomorphic partial integral of the form* (1.9) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $s_1 = 0$, $k_1 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.4. *For the system* (1.1) *to have an autonomous* $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality* $\mathbb{R}$*-differentiable partial integral* $f \colon w \to f({}^k w)$ *for all* $w \in \Omega'$, $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

---

[3] Note that functions (operators) are called *linearly bound* on the domain G if these functions (operators) are linearly dependent in any point of the domain G.

Let the system (1.1) satisfy conditions (1.13). Let us write out the system of equations

$$\psi_{\theta s_1} + {}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[{}^{\lambda}X^{\theta}(z,w)\big]^T = H_\theta(f;z,w), \quad {}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[\partial^p_{\chi}\,{}^{\lambda}X^{\theta}(z,w)\big]^T = \partial^p_{\chi} H_\theta(f;z,w), \ \ p=1,\dots,\lambda,$$

$${}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[{}^{\lambda}X^{\eta}(z,w)\big]^T = H_\eta(f;z,w), \quad {}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[\partial^p_{\chi}\,{}^{\lambda}X^{\eta}(z,w)\big]^T = \partial^p_{\chi} H_\eta(f;z,w), \ \ p=1,\dots,\lambda-1,$$

$$\psi_{gs_2} + {}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[{}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_g}(z,w)\big]^T = H_{m+j_g}(f;z,w), \tag{1.14}$$

$${}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[\partial^p_{\chi}\,{}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_g}(z,w)\big]^T = \partial^p_{\chi} H_{m+j_g}(f;z,w), \ \ p=1,\dots,\lambda,$$

$${}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[{}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_\nu}(z,w)\big]^T = H_{m+j_\nu}(f;z,w), \ {}^{\lambda}\varphi\big[\partial^p_{\chi}{}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_\nu}(z,w)\big]^T = \partial^p_{\chi} H_{m+j_\nu}(f;z,w), p=1,\dots,\lambda-1,$$

$$\theta=1,\dots,s_1, \quad \eta=s_1+1,\dots,m, \quad g=1,\dots,s_2, \quad \nu=s_2+1,\dots m,$$

where the vector functions

$${}^{k_1}\varphi\colon (z,w)\to(\varphi_{1k_1}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\dots,\varphi_{k_1k_1}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)), \quad {}^{k_2}\varphi\colon (z,w)\to(\varphi_{1k_2}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\dots,\varphi_{k_2k_2}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)),$$

$${}^{\lambda}\varphi\colon (z,w)\to({}^{k_1}\varphi(z,w),{}^{k_2}\varphi(z,w)) \ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G;$$

$H_l\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable functions of z and w on the domain G and $H_l(0;z,w)\equiv 0$, $l=1,\dots,2m$. Let us introduce the Pfaffian differential equation

$${}^{s_1}\psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)d^{s_1}z + {}^{s_2}\psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)d\,\overline{{}^{s_2}z} + {}^{k_1}\varphi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)d^{k_1}w + {}^{k_2}\varphi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}w} = 0, \tag{1.15}$$

where $d^{s_1}z = \operatorname{colon}(dz_1,\dots,dz_{s_1})$, $d\,\overline{{}^{s_2}z} = \operatorname{colon}\big(d\,\overline{z}_{j_1},\dots,d\,\overline{z}_{j_{s_2}}\big)$, $d^{k_1}w = \operatorname{colon}(dw_1,\dots,dw_{k_1})$, and $d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}w} = \operatorname{colon}\big(d\,\overline{w}_{\zeta_1},\dots,d\,\overline{w}_{\zeta_{k_2}}\big)$ are vector columns; the vector functions

$${}^{s_1}\psi\colon (z,w)\to(\psi_{1s_1}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\dots,\psi_{s_1s_1}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)) \ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G,$$

$${}^{s_2}\psi\colon (z,w)\to(\psi_{1s_2}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\dots,\psi_{s_2s_2}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)) \ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G.$$

Theorem 1.4. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the total differential system* (1.1) *to have at least one partial integral of the form* (1.9) *is that the functions* ${}^{s_1}\psi$, ${}^{s_2}\psi$, ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$, *and* H_l, $l=1,\dots,2m$, *exist so that they satisfy system* (1.14) *and*

(i) *the Pfaffian differential equation* (1.15) *has an integrating factor*;

(ii) *the function* (1.9) *is a general integral of the Pfaffian differential equation* (1.15).

Proof. Necessity. Let the total differential system (1.1) have a $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integral of the form (1.9). Then the identity (1.10) holds. The vector functions

$${}^{s_1}\psi\colon (z,w)\to\partial_{{}^{s_1}z} f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w), \quad {}^{s_2}\psi\colon (z,w)\to\partial_{\overline{{}^{s_2}z}} f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w) \ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G',$$

$${}^{k_1}\varphi\colon (z,w)\to\partial_{{}^{k_1}w} f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w), \quad {}^{k_2}\varphi\colon (z,w)\to\partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}w}} f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w) \ \text{ for all } (z,w)\in G',$$

where $\partial_{{}^{s_1}z} = (\partial_{z_1},\dots,\partial_{z_{s_1}})$, $\partial_{\overline{{}^{s_2}z}} = \big(\partial_{\overline{z}_{j_1}},\dots,\partial_{\overline{z}_{j_{s_2}}}\big)$, $\partial_{{}^{k_1}w} = (\partial_{w_1},\dots,\partial_{w_{k_1}})$, $\partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}w}} = \big(\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_1}},\dots,\partial_{\overline{w}_{\zeta_{k_2}}}\big)$, is a solution to system (1.14) for $H_l(f;z,w) = \Phi_l(f;z,w)$, $l=1,\dots,2m$, which can be shown by differentiating (1.10) λ times with respect to χ ($\theta=1,\dots,s_1$, $g=1,\dots,s_2$) and $\lambda-1$ times with respect to χ ($\eta=s_1+1,\dots,m$, $\nu=s_2+1,\dots,m$). Therefore the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable function (1.9) is a general integral of the Pfaffian differential equation (1.15).

Sufficiency. Let ${}^{s_1}\psi$, ${}^{s_2}\psi$, ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ be a solution to the system (1.14), and let the corresponding Pfaffian differential equation (1.15) have an integrating factor $\mu\colon ({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\to\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)$ and the corresponding general integral (1.9). Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{{}^{s_1}z}\, f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)-\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{s_1}\psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=0, \quad \partial_{\overline{{}^{s_2}z}}\, f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)-\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{s_2}\psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=0,\\ &\partial_{{}^{k_1}w}\, f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)-\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_1}\varphi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=0, \quad \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}w}}\, f({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)-\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_2}\varphi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

It follows from (1.14) and (1.16) that identity (1.10) is valid with

$$\Phi_l(f;z,w)=\mu({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)H_l(f;z,w) \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in G', \quad l=1,\dots,2m.$$

Consequently, the function (1.9) is a partial integral of the system (1.1). ■

Theorem 1.5. *Let* h *systems* (1.14) *have* q *not linearly bound solutions*

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{s_1}\psi^{\varepsilon}\colon (z,w)\to {}^{s_1}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w), \quad {}^{s_2}\psi^{\varepsilon}\colon (z,w)\to {}^{s_2}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\\ &{}^{\lambda}\varphi^{\varepsilon}\colon (z,w)\to {}^{\lambda}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w) \quad \textit{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in G', \quad \varepsilon=1,\dots,q,\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

for which the corresponding Pfaffian differential equations

$${}^{s_1}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,d\,{}^{s_1}z+{}^{s_2}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,d\,\overline{{}^{s_2}z}+{}^{k_1}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,d\,{}^{k_1}w+{}^{k_2}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}w}=0, \varepsilon=1,\dots,q \tag{1.18}$$

have the general ℝ*-differentiable integrals*

$$f_{\varepsilon}\colon (z,w)\to f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w) \quad \textit{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in G', \quad \varepsilon=1,\dots,q.$$

Then these integrals are functionally independent.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{{}^{s_1}z}\, f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\mu_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{s_1}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w), \quad \partial_{\overline{{}^{s_2}z}}\, f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\mu_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{s_2}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w),\\ &\partial_{{}^{k_1}w}\, f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\mu_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_1}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w), \quad \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}w}}\, f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\mu_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_2}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in G', \quad \varepsilon=1,\dots,q,\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (1.16). Therefore, the Jacobi matrix

$$J(f_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w);{}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=\big\|{}^{s_1}\Psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{s_2}\Psi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_1}\Phi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\,{}^{k_2}\Phi({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\big\|,$$

where ${}^{s_1}\Psi=\big\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon\theta s_1}\big\|$ is a $(q\times s_1)$-matrix, ${}^{s_2}\Psi=\big\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon g s_2}\big\|$ is a $(q\times s_2)$-matrix, ${}^{k_1}\Phi=\big\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\varphi_{\varepsilon\xi k_1}\big\|$ is a $(q\times k_1)$-matrix, and ${}^{k_2}\Phi=\big\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\varphi_{\varepsilon\tau k_2}\big\|$ is a $(q\times k_2)$-matrix.

We have $\operatorname{rank} J=q$ since the solutions (1.17) are not linearly bound.

Consequently, the general ℝ-differentiable integrals of the Pfaffian equations (1.18) are functionally independent. The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

The Theorem 1.5 (taking into account the Theorem 1.4) let us to find a quantity of functionally independent (s_1,s_2)-nonautonomous $(n-k_1,n-k_2)$-cylindricality ℝ-differentiable partial integrals of the total differential system (1.1).

For example, the system of total differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}&dw_1=(w_1^2+w_2\,\overline{w}_2)\,dz+(w_1w_2+w_2\,\overline{w}_2+(2+\overline{z}\,)\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2}\,)\,d\,\overline{z}\,,\\ &dw_2=(w_2\,\overline{w}_1-(1+z)w_2^2\,)\,dz+\overline{w}_1(w_2+\overline{w}_2)\,d\,\overline{z}\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

has the vector functions (see (1.11))

$$P_1\colon (z,w)\to \big(w_1w_2+w_2\,\overline{w}_2+(2+\overline{z}\,)\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2}, w_1\,\overline{w}_2-(1+\overline{z}\,)\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2}\big) \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3,$$

$$P_2\colon (z,w)\to \big((w_1^2+w_2\,\overline{w}_2, w_1(w_2+\overline{w}_2)\big) \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3,$$

and the Wronskians (see (1.13))

$$W_z\big(P_1(z,w)\big)=0,\quad W_{\overline{z}}\big(P_1(z,w)\big)=\,-\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2}\,(w_2+\overline{w}_2)(w_1+\overline{w}_2),$$

$$W_{w_2}\big(P_1(z,w)\big)=\,-\,(w_1+\overline{w}_2)(w_1\overline{w}_2-(1+\overline{z}\,)\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2}\,),\quad W_{\overline{w}_1}\big(P_1(z,w)\big)=0,$$

$$W_z\big(P_2(z,w)\big)=0,\quad W_{\overline{z}}\big(P_2(z,w)\big)=0,\quad W_{w_2}\big(P_2(z,w)\big)=w_1(w_1-\overline{w}_2)(w_1+\overline{w}_2),$$

$$W_{\overline{w}_1}\big(P_2(z,w)\big)=0\quad\text{for all}\quad (z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3$$

vanish identically on the manifold $w_1+\overline{w}_2=0$ (see (1.12)).

Therefore a necessary condition for system of total differential equations (1.19) to have an ℝ-differentiable autonomous (1,1)-cylindricality partial integral is complied (Theorem 1.3).

The functions $\varphi_1\colon (z,w)\to 1$ for all $(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3$, $\varphi_2\colon (z,w)\to 1$ for all $(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3$ is a solution to system (1.14) for

$$H_1\colon (z,w)\to (w_1+\overline{w}_2)(w_1+w_2)\quad\text{for all}\ \ (z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3,$$

$$H_2\colon (z,w)\to (w_1+\overline{w}_2)(w_2+\overline{w}_2)\quad\text{for all}\ \ (z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3.$$

The corresponding Pfaffian differential equation

$$dw_1+d\,\overline{w}_2=0$$

has the integrating factor $\mu\colon w\to 1$ for all $w\in\mathbb{C}^2$ and the general integral (Theorem 1.4)

$$f\colon (w_1,w_2)\to w_1+\overline{w}_2\quad\text{for all}\ \ (w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2. \tag{1.20}$$

Thus the system of total differential equations (1.19) has the ℝ-differentiable autonomous (1,1)-cylindricality partial integral (1.20).

1.4.2. ℝ-differentiable first integrals. Suppose the system of total differential equations (1.1) has a (s_1,s_2)-nonautonomous and $(n-k_1,n-k_2)$-cylindricality ℝ-differentiable on the domain G' first integral

$$F\colon (z,w)\to F({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)\quad\text{for all}\ \ (z,w)\in G'. \tag{1.21}$$

Then, in accordance with the criteria of a first integral,

$$\mathfrak{X}_{lsk}F({}^{s}z,{}^{k}w)=0\quad\text{for all}\ \ (z,w)\in G',\quad l=1,\ldots,2m.$$

Therefore the Wronskians of the functions (1.11) vanish identically on the domain G, i.e., the system of identities (1.13) for $\Psi_{l\chi}\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$ is consistent in G.

We obtain the following statements.

Theorem 1.6. *For the differential system* (1.1) *to have a first integral of the form* (1.21) *it is necessary that* (1.13) *with* $\Psi_{l\chi}\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$ *be consistent in* G.

Corollary 1.5. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(s_1,0)$*-nonautonomous* $(n-k_1,n)$*-cylindricality holomorphic first integral of the form* (1.21) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $\Psi_{l\chi}\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$, *and* $s_2=0$, $k_2=0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.6. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(0,s_2)$*-nonautonomous* $(n,n-k_2)$*-cylindricality antiholomorphic first integral of the form* (1.21) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $\Psi_{l\chi}\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$, *and* $s_1=0$, $k_1=0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.7. *For the system* (1.1) *to have an autonomous* $(n-k_1,n-k_2)$*-cylindricality* ℝ*-differentiable first integral* $F\colon w\to F({}^{k}w)$ *for all* $w\in\Omega'$, $\Omega'\subset\mathbb{C}^n$, *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.13) *with* $\Psi_{l\chi}\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$, *and* $s_1=0$, $s_2=0$ *be consistent.*

The proof of the following assertions is similar to those of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Theorem 1.7. *For the system of total differential equations* (1.1) *to have at least one first integral of the form* (1.21) *it is necessary and sufficient that there exist functions* ${}^{s_1}\psi$, ${}^{s_2}\psi$, ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ *satisfying to system* (1.14) *for* $H_l\equiv 0$, $l=1,\ldots,2m$, *that the function* (1.21) *is a general integral of the Pfaffian differential equation* (1.15).

Theorem 1.8. *Let functional system* (1.14) *with* $H_l \equiv 0,\ l = 1, \dots, 2m$ *has* q *not linearly bound solutions* (1.17) *such that the corresponding Pfaffian differential equations* (1.18) *have the general integrals*

$$F_\varepsilon\colon (z,w) \to F_\varepsilon({}^s z, {}^k w) \quad \textit{for all } \ (z,w) \in G', \quad \varepsilon = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then these integrals are functionally independent.

The Theorem 1.8 (taking into account the Theorem 1.7) let us to find a quantity of functionally independent (s_1, s_2)-nonautonomous $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals of the total differential system (1.1).

As an example, the system of total differential equations

$$dw_1 = \frac{2}{\overline{z}}\, w_2\, dz - \Big(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\, w_1 + 2w_2^2 + 2z\, w_2\, \overline{w}_1\Big) d\,\overline{z}, \quad dw_2 = -\,dz + \overline{z}\,(w_2 + z\,\overline{w}_1)\, d\,\overline{z} \qquad (1.22)$$

has the functions (see (1.11))

$$P_1\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \Big(1,\ -\frac{1}{z}\,\overline{w}_1 - 2\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2} - 2\,\overline{z}\, w_1\, \overline{w}_2,\ z(\,\overline{z}\, w_1 + \overline{w}_2)\Big) \quad \text{for all } \ (z,w_1,w_2) \in \Omega,$$

$$P_2\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \Big(\frac{2\,\overline{w}_2}{z}\,,\ -1\Big) \quad \text{for all } \ (z,w_1,w_2) \in \Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^3.$$

The Wronskians of the vector functions P_1 and P_2 with respect to $\overline{z},\ w_1,\ w_2$ vanish identically on a domain $\Omega \subset \{(z,w_1,w_2)\colon z \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

Therefore a necessary condition for the total differential system (1.22) to have an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable (1,0)-nonautonomous (2,0)-cylindricality first integral is complied (Theorem 1.6).

The scalar functions

$$\psi_1\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \overline{w}_1, \quad \varphi_1\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to z, \quad \varphi_2\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to 2\,\overline{w}_2 \ \text{ for all } \ (z,w_1,w_2) \in \Omega$$

is a solution to system of equations (see (1.14) with $H_l \equiv 0,\ l = 1,2$)

$$\psi_1 - \Big(\frac{1}{z}\,\overline{w}_1 + 2\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2} + 2\,\overline{z}\, w_1\, \overline{w}_2\Big)\varphi_1 + z(\,\overline{w}_2 + \overline{z}\, w_1)\varphi_2 = 0,$$

$$-\,2w_1\, \overline{w}_2\, \varphi_1 + z w_1\, \varphi_2 = 0, \qquad -\,2\,\overline{z}\,\overline{w}_2\, \varphi_1 + z\,\overline{z}\, \varphi_2 = 0, \qquad \frac{2}{z}\,\overline{w}_2\, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = 0.$$

The corresponding Pfaffian differential equation

$$\overline{w}_1\, dz + z\, d\,\overline{w}_1 + 2\,\overline{w}_2\, d\,\overline{w}_2 = 0$$

has the general integral (Theorem 1.7)

$$F\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to\ z\,\overline{w}_1 + \overline{w}_2^{\,2} \quad \text{for all } \ (z,w_1,w_2) \in \Omega. \qquad (1.23)$$

The Poisson bracket

$$\big[\mathfrak{X}_1(z,w), \mathfrak{X}_2(z,w)\big] = \Big[\partial_z + \frac{2}{\overline{z}}\, w_2\, \partial_{w_1} - \partial_{w_2} - \Big(\frac{1}{z}\,\overline{w}_1 + 2\,\overline{w}_2^{\,2} + 2\,\overline{z}\, w_1\, \overline{w}_2\Big)\partial_{\overline{w}_1} + z(\,\overline{z}\, w_1 + \overline{w}_2)\partial_{\overline{w}_2},$$

$$\partial_{\overline{z}} - \Big(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\, w_1 + 2w_2^2 + 2z\, w_2\, \overline{w}_1\Big)\partial_{w_1} + \overline{z}\,(w_2 + z\,\overline{w}_1)\partial_{w_2} + \frac{2}{z}\,\overline{w}_2\, \partial_{\overline{w}_1} - \partial_{\overline{w}_2}\Big] =$$

$$= \big(1 + 2z\,\overline{w}_2(\,\overline{z}\, w_1 + \overline{w}_2\,)\big)\big(2w_2\, \partial_{w_1} - \overline{z}\, \partial_{w_2}\big) - \big(1 + 2\,\overline{z}\, w_2(w_2 + z\,\overline{w}_1)\big)\big(2\,\overline{w}_2\, \partial_{\overline{w}_1} - z\, \partial_{\overline{w}_2}\big)$$

is not the null operator on the domain Ω, i.e., system (1.22) is not completely solvable.

Thus the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable (1,0)-nonautonomous (2,0)-cylindricality first integral (1.23) is an integral basis on the domain Ω of the total differential system (1.22).

1.4.3. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multipliers. Suppose the system of total differential equations (1.1) has a (s_1, s_2)-nonautonomous and $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on the domain G' last multiplier

$$\mu\colon (z,w) \to \mu({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w) \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G'. \tag{1.24}$$

Then, in accordance with the criteria of a last multiplier,

$$\mathfrak{X}_{lsk}\mu({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w) + \mu({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\,\mathrm{div}\mathfrak{X}_l(z,w) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G', \quad l = 1,\dots,2m. \tag{1.25}$$

Using (1.25), we get

$$\begin{gathered}
W_{\chi}\big(1, {}^{\lambda}X^{\theta}(z,w), \mathrm{div}\mathfrak{X}_{\theta}(z,w)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G, \quad \theta = 1,\dots,s_1,\\
W_{\chi}\big({}^{\lambda}X^{\eta}(z,w), \mathrm{div}\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}(z,w)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G, \quad \eta = s_1+1,\dots,m,\\
W_{\chi}\big(1, {}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_g}(z,w), \mathrm{div}\mathfrak{X}_{m+j_g}(z,w)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G, \quad g = 1,\dots,s_2,\\
W_{\chi}\big({}^{\lambda}X^{m+j_\nu}(z,w), \mathrm{div}\mathfrak{X}_{m+j_\nu}(z,w)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (z,w) \in G, \quad \nu = s_2+1,\dots,m.
\end{gathered} \tag{1.26}$$

The proof of the following statements is similar to those of Theorems 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5.

Theorem 1.9. *For the system of total differential equations* (1.1) *to have a last multiplier of the form* (1.24) *it is necessary that* (1.26) *be consistent on the domain* G.

Corollary 1.8. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(s_1, 0)$*-nonautonomous* $(n-k_1, n)$*-cylindricality holomorphic last multiplier of the form* (1.24) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.26) *with* $s_2 = 0,\ k_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.9. *For the total differential system* (1.1) *to have a* $(0, s_2)$*-nonautonomous* $(n, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality antiholomorphic last multiplier of the form* (1.24) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.26) *with* $s_1 = 0,\ k_1 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 1.10. *For the system* (1.1) *to have an autonomous* $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality* $\mathbb{R}$*-differentiable last multiplier* $\mu\colon w \to \mu({}^{k}w)$ *for all* $w \in \Omega'$, $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, *it is necessary that the system of identities* (1.26) *with* $s_1 = 0,\ s_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Theorem 1.10. *For the system of total differential equations* (1.1) *to have at least one last multiplier of the form* (1.24) *it is necessary and sufficient that there exist functions* ${}^{s_1}\psi$, ${}^{s_2}\psi$, ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ *satisfying system* (1.14) *with*

$$H_l\colon (z,w) \to\ -\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{X}_l(z,w) \quad \textit{for all } (z,w) \in G, \quad l = 1,\dots,2m, \tag{1.27}$$

such that the Pfaffian differential equation (1.15) *has the integrating factor* $\nu({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w) = 1$ *for all* $(z,w) \in G'$; *in this case the last multiplier is given by*

$$\mu\colon (z,w) \to \exp\int {}^{s_1}\psi({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d^{s_1}z + {}^{s_2}\psi({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d\,\overline{{}^{s_2}z}\, +\, {}^{k_1}\varphi({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d^{k_1}w + {}^{k_2}\varphi({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}w}$$

$$\textit{for all } (z,w) \in G'.$$

Theorem 1.11. *Let system* (1.14) *with* (1.27) *has* q *not linearly bound solutions* (1.17) *for which the corresponding Pfaff equations* (1.18) *have the integrating factors* $\nu_{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w) = 1$ *for all* $(z,w) \in G'$, $\varepsilon = 1,\dots,q$. *Then the last multiplies of the total differential system* (1.1)

$$\mu_{\varepsilon}\colon (z,w) \to \exp\int {}^{s_1}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d^{s_1}z + {}^{s_2}\psi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d\,\overline{{}^{s_2}z}\, +\, {}^{k_1}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d^{k_1}w\, +\, {}^{k_2}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{s}z, {}^{k}w)\, d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}w}$$

$$\textit{for all } (z,w) \in G', \quad \varepsilon = 1,\dots,q$$

are functionally independent.

The system of total differential equations

$$dw_1 = w_1(1+2\,\overline{w}_2)\,dz + w_1(1+2w_2)\,d\,\overline{z}, \qquad dw_2 = w_2(w_1-1)\,dz - w_2(w_2+\overline{w}_1)\,d\,\overline{z} \tag{1.28}$$

has the functions $(\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{X}_1(z,w) = 1+2\,\overline{w}_2,\ \operatorname{div}\mathfrak{X}_2(z,w) = 1+2w_2$ for all $(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^3)$

$$P_1\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \bigl(w_1(1+2\,\overline{w}_2),\ 1+2\,\overline{w}_2\bigr) \quad \text{for all } (z,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3$$

and

$$P_2\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \bigl(w_1(1+2w_2),\ 1+2w_2\bigr) \quad \text{for all } (z,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3.$$

The Wronskians of the vector functions P_1 and P_2 with respect to z, $\overline{z}$, w_2, $\overline{w}_1$, and $\overline{w}_2$ vanish identically on the $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Therefore a necessary condition for the total differential system (1.28) to have an ℝ-differentiable autonomous (1,2)-cylindricality last multiplier is complied (Theorem 1.9).

The scalar function

$$\varphi\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to -\,\frac{1}{w_1} \quad \text{for all } (z,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}\times\Omega,$$

where Ω is a domain from the set $\{(w_1,w_2)\colon w_1\neq 0\}$, is a solution to system of equations (see (1.14) with $H_l(z,w_1,w_2) = -\operatorname{div}\mathfrak{X}_l(z,w_1,w_2)$ for all $(z,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3$, $l=1,2$)

$$w_1(1+2\,\overline{w}_2)\,\varphi = -\,(1+2\,\overline{w}_2), \quad 2w_1\,\varphi = -\,2, \quad w_1(1+2w_2)\,\varphi = -\,(1+2w_2).$$

Thus the total differential system (1.28) has the last multiplier (Theorem 1.10)

$$\mu\colon (z,w_1,w_2) \to \frac{1}{w_1} \quad \text{for all } (z_1,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{C}\times\Omega.$$

1.5. ℝ-regular solutions of an algebraic equation have no movable nonalgebraic ℝ-singular point

ℝ-holomorphic solutions of a completely solvable total differential equation may have ℝ-singular points. In addition, we can distinguish two classes of ℝ-singular points of solutions: an ℝ-singular point of solutions of a completely solvable total differential equation whose position depends on the initial data determining a particular solution is referred to as a *movable* ℝ-singular point; if the position is independent of the initial data, then the point is called a *fixed* ℝ-singular point.

Let us consider the algebraic total differential equation

$$Q(z,w)\,dw = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\bigl(P_j(z,w)\,dz_j + P_{m+j}(z,w)\,d\,\overline{z}_j\bigr)\,, \tag{1.29}$$

where the functions $Q\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ and $P_l\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$, $l=1,\dots,2m$, $G=\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{C}$, are ℝ-polynomials in w (polynomials in w and $\overline{w}$) whose coefficients are ℝ-holomorphic in z in a domain $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ and do not have common factors.

Definition 1.4. *Equation* (1.29) *completely solvable in the domain* G *is said to be non-degenerate if the rank of the matrix*

$$P(z,w) = \left\|\begin{matrix} P_1(z,w) & \dots & P_m(z,w) & P_{m+1}(z,w) & \dots & P_{2m}(z,w) \\ \overline{P}_{m+1}(z,w) & \dots & \overline{P}_{2m}(z,w) & \overline{P}_1(z,w) & \dots & \overline{P}_m(z,w) \end{matrix}\right\|$$

is equal to 2 *almost everywhere in* G *and is said to be degenerate otherwise.*

By Definition 1.3, all $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solutions of a degenerate completely solvable equation (1.29) are $\mathbb{R}$-singular, and all $\mathbb{R}$-singular solutions $w = w(z)$ of a nondegenerate completely solvable equation (1.29) satisfy the condition $P(z,w) < 2$.

Theorem 1.12. *$\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solutions of a nondegenerate completely solvable total differential equation* (1.29) *have no movable nonalgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular points.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary: let $z_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ be a nonalgebraic movable $\mathbb{R}$-singular point for some solution $w = w(z)$ of the total differential equation (1.29), and let $\gamma \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the path along which the point z tends to z_0 so that the solution $w = w(z)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic on γ everywhere except for the point z_0. We have two possible cases:

1) z_0 is a transcendental $\mathbb{R}$-singular point;

2) z_0 is a $\triangle$-essentially $\mathbb{R}$-singular point.

In the first case, the solution $w = w(z)$ tends to some value $w_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ along the path γ as $z \to z_0$.

If $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have two possibilities: a) the point w_0 is not a root of the equation

$$Q(z_0, w) = 0; \tag{1.30}$$

b) the point w_0 is a root of the equation (1.30).

By Theorem 1.1, in case a) the completely solvable total differential equation (1.29) has a solution $w = \widetilde{w}(z)$ $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point z_0 and satisfying the initial condition $\widetilde{w}(z_0) = w_0$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, the solution $w = w(z)$ coincides with the solution $w = \widetilde{w}(z)$; consequently, $w = w(z)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at the point z_0.

Let us consider case b). Since z_0 is not a movable $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the nondegenerate equation (1.29), we have $\operatorname{rank} P(z_0, w_0) = 2$.

We have the following three cases:

b$_1$) there exist indices $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and $\tau \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $k < \tau$, such that

$$P_{1k}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,m+\tau}(z_0,w_0) - P_{1\tau}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,m+k}(z_0,w_0) \neq 0; \tag{1.31}$$

b$_2$) there exist indices $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and $\tau \in \{m+1, ..., 2m\}$ such that

$$P_{1k}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,\tau-m}(z_0,w_0) - P_{1\tau}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,m+k}(z_0,w_0) \neq 0;$$

b$_3$) there exist indices $k \in \{m+1, ..., 2m\}$ and $\tau \in \{m+1, ..., 2m\}$, $k < \tau$, such that

$$P_{1k}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,\tau-m}(z_0,w_0) - P_{1\tau}(z_0,w_0)\,\overline{P}_{1,k-m}(z_0,w_0) \neq 0.$$

In case b$_1$), we rewrite the total differential equation (1.29) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(z,w)\,dz_k + P_\tau(z,w)\,dz_\tau \;&=\; Q(z,w)\,dw - P_{m+k}(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_k - P_{m+\tau}(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_\tau \,- \\ &- \sum_{j=1,\,j\neq k,\,j\neq\tau}^{m} \big(P_j(z,w)\,dz_j + P_{m+j}(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_j\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

By taking the conjugate of (1.32), we obtain the total differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{P}_{m+k}(z,w)\,dz_k + \overline{P}_{m+\tau}(z,w)\,dz_\tau \;&=\; \overline{Q}(z,w)\,d\overline{w} - \overline{P}_k(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_k - \overline{P}_\tau(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_\tau \,- \\ &- \sum_{j=1,\,j\neq k,\,j\neq\tau}^{m} \big(\overline{P}_{m+j}(z,w)\,dz_j + \overline{P}_j(z,w)\,d\overline{z}_j\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

Treating Q and P_l as the functions

$$Q(z,w) = q(z,\overline{z},w,\overline{w}\,) \quad \text{and} \quad P_l(z,w) = p_l(z,\overline{z},w,\overline{w}\,), \quad l = 1, ..., 2m,$$

holomorphic in $(z,\overline{z},w,\overline{w})$, to differential system $(1.32) \cup (1.33)$ we assign the completely solvable system of total differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(t,x,y)\,dt_k + p_\tau(t,x,y)\,dt_\tau \ &= \ q(t,x,y)\,dx - p_{m+k}(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+k} - p_{m+\tau}(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+\tau} - \\ &- \sum_{j=1,\,j\neq k,\,j\neq\tau}^{m} \big(p_j(t,x,y)\,dt_j + p_{m+j}(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+j}\big), \\ \overline{p}_{m+k}(t,x,y)\,dt_k + \overline{p}_{m+\tau}(t,x,y)\,dt_\tau \ &= \ \overline{q}(t,x,y)\,dy - \overline{p}_k(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+k} - \overline{p}_\tau(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+\tau} - \\ &- \sum_{j=1,\,j\neq k,\,j\neq\tau}^{m} \big(\overline{p}_{m+j}(t,x,y)\,dt_j + \overline{p}_j(t,x,y)\,dt_{m+j}\big)\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (1.34)$$

Taking into account the complex analog of the results from [24, pp. 75 – 80] and condition (1.31), we find that there exists a unique holomorphic solution

$$t_k = t_k(t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},t_{k+1},\ldots,t_{\tau-1},t_{\tau+1},\ldots,t_{2m},x,y),$$

$$t_\tau = t_\tau(t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},t_{k+1},\ldots,t_{\tau-1},t_{\tau+1},\ldots,t_{2m},x,y),$$

of system (1.34) passing through the point (t_0,x_0,y_0). Since system $(1.32)\cup(1.33)$ is self-adjoint, it follows that the equation (1.32) has $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic integral manifolds

$$z_k - z_k(z,w) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_\tau - z_\tau(z,w) = 0$$

passing through the point (z_0,w_0). These manifolds are not determined by the equations $z_k = z_k^0$ and $z_\tau = z_\tau^0$, respectively, since the function Q is not identically zero at the point z_0. Consequently, z_0 is an algebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the solution $w=w(z)$.

Likewise, for cases b$_2$) and b$_3$) we can prove that z_0 cannot be a nonalgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the solution $w=w(z)$.

Let $w_0=\infty$. Performing the transformation $\xi = w^{-1}$, from the equation (1.29) we obtain a nondegenerate completely solvable equation; all functions occurring in this equation are $\mathbb{R}$-polynomials in ξ (polynomials in ξ and $\overline{\xi}$) whose coefficients are $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in z in the domain $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ and have no common factors. Just as in the case $w_0\in\mathbb{C}$, we find that for the solution $\xi=\xi(z)$ of this equation the point z_0 is either an $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic point or a critical algebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point. Therefore, the solution $w=w(z)$ of the equation in question has either an $\mathbb{R}$-pole or a critical $\mathbb{R}$-pole at the point z_0.

Thus, z_0 is not a transcendental $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the solution $w=w(z)$ of the completely solvable total differential equation (1.29).

Let us now consider the case in which z_0 is a $\triangle$-essential $\mathbb{R}$-singular point. If there exists at least one path $\gamma\subset\mathcal{D}$ that infinitely approaches the point z_0 and along which the solution $w=w(z)$ tends to some limit, then, just as above, we can prove that this is either an ordinary point or an algebraic point. Therefore, we assume that along any path $\gamma\subset\mathcal{D}$ the solution $w=w(z)$ does not tend to any limit as $z\to z_0$. On one of such paths we choose a sequence of points $\{z^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$ converging to the point z_0 as $p\to+\infty$. The corresponding sequence of values of $w(z)$ has the form $\{w^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$. Since any sequence of complex numbers contains a subsequence converging to some number $w_0\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows that without loss of generality we can assume that the sequence $\{w^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$ itself converges to w_0.

Let $w_0\in\mathbb{C}$. We consider two possibilities: a) the point w_0 is not a root of the equation (1.30); b) the point w_0 is a root of the equation (1.30).

By virtue of Theorem 1.1, in case a) the total differential equation (1.29) has the solution

$$w = \widetilde{w}^{(p)}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad \widetilde{w}^{(p)}\big(z^{(p)}\big) = w^{(p)}, \qquad (1.35)$$

where $\widetilde{w}^{(p)}(z)$ is a function $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point z_0 provided that p is a sufficiently large number. Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1, the solution $w=w(z)$ coincides with the solution (1.35) and hence is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic at the z_0.

Case b). The point z_0 is not a fixed $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of solutions of the nondegenerate equation (1.29); therefore, $\operatorname{rank} P(z_0, w_0) = 2$. Just as in the first case, we consider three possibilities, b$_1$), b$_2$), and b$_3$).

In case b$_1$), we construct the differential system (1.32)$\cup$(1.33) and, using (1.31), conclude that the total differential equation (1.29) has $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic integral manifolds

$$z_k - z_k^{(p)}(z,w) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_\tau - z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w) = 0$$

passing through the point $\big(z^{(p)}, w^{(p)}\big)$ and such that the functions $z_k^{(p)}(z,w)$ and $z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point (z_0, w_0) for sufficiently large p. We have

$$\lim_{p\to+\infty} z_k^{(p)} = z_k^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p\to+\infty} z_\tau^{(p)} = z_\tau^0.$$

Let γ_0 be the path in the complex plane w corresponding to the solution $w = w(z)$ as the point z goes along the path γ. The path γ can be chosen so that relations of the form (1.31) are valid on γ and γ_0 including the point (z_0, w_0). Then the functions $z_k^{(p)}(z,w)$ and $z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic along the path $\gamma_0 \times \gamma$ for sufficiently large p.

Therefore, $z_k^{(p)}(z_0,w_0) = z_k^0$ and $z_\tau^{(p)}(z_0,w_0) = z_\tau^0$ for sufficiently large p.

Since the functions $z_k^{(p)}(z,w)$ and $z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point (z_0, w_0) and the total differential equation (1.29) has a unique $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic solution with the initial data (z_0, w_0), we find that the identities

$$z_k^{(p)}(z,w) \equiv z_k(z,w) \quad \text{and} \quad z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w) \equiv z_\tau(z,w)$$

are valid for all sufficiently large p. Consequently, the solution $w = w(z)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-holomorphic along the path γ except for the point z and satisfies the equations

$$z - z_k^{(p)}(z,w) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z - z_\tau^{(p)}(z,w) = 0.$$

Therefore, z_0 is an algebraic point for this solution.

In a similar way, we can show that in cases b$_2$) and b$_3$) the point z_0 cannot be a nonalgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singular point of the solution $w = w(z)$.

Now let $w_0 = \infty$. Then, by setting $\xi = w^{-1}$ in the total differential equation (1.29), we find that the solution $\xi = \xi(z)$ of the obtained equation has an algebraic $\mathbb{R}$-singularity at the point z_0. Therefore, z_0 is an algebraic point for the solution $w = w(z)$ of the completely solvable total differential equation (1.29). The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

2. System of first-order partial differential equations

2.1. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable integrals and last multipliers

Consider a linear homogeneous system of first-order partial differential equations

$$\mathfrak{A}_j(z)\, u \;=\; 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{2.1}$$

with not linearly bound [25, p. 105] differential operators

$$\mathfrak{A}_j(z) = \sum_{\xi=1}^{n} \big(u_{j\xi}(z)\partial_{z_\xi} + u_{j,n+\xi}(z)\partial_{\overline{z}_\xi}\big) \quad \text{for all } z \in G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where the scalar functions $u_{jp}\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $p = 1, \dots, 2n$, are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable in a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the $\overline{z}_j$ are the complex conjugates of z_j, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

We begin with definitions. An $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on a domain $G' \subset G$ function: i) $F\colon G' \to \mathbb{C}$; ii) $f\colon G' \to \mathbb{C}$; iii) $\mu\colon G' \to \mathbb{C}$ is called i) a *first integral*; ii) a *partial integral*; iii) a *last multiplier* of the partial differential system (2.1) iff i) $\mathfrak{A}_j F(z) = 0$ for all $z \in G'$, $j = 1, \dots, m$;

ii) $\mathfrak{A}_j f(z) = \Phi_j(f;z)$ for all $z \in G'$, where $\Phi_j(0;z) \equiv 0$, $j = 1,\dots,m$;

iii) $\mathfrak{A}_j \mu(z) = -\mu(z)\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathfrak{u}^j(z)$ for all $z \in G'$, where the vector functions

$$u^j \colon z \to (u_{j1}(z),\dots,u_{j,2n}(z)) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G, \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral F (partial integral f and last multiplier μ) of the partial differential system (2.1) is called $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-*cylindricality* [20; 26; 27] if

(i) F (f and μ) is holomorphic of $n-k_2$ variables;

(ii) F (f and μ) is antiholomorphic of $n-k_1$ variables.

2.1.1. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality partial integrals. Suppose the system (2.1) has an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality partial integral

$$f \colon z \to f({}^k z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G', \tag{2.2}$$

where $k = (n-k_1, n-k_2)$. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that the function f is an antiholomorphic function of $z_{k_1+1},\dots,z_n$ and the function f is a holomorphic function of $z_{\zeta_{k_2+1}},\dots,z_{\zeta_n}$, $\zeta_\delta \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $\delta = k_2+1,\dots,n$.

Then, in accordance with the definition of a partial integral for the system (2.1),

$$\mathfrak{A}_j^k f({}^k z) = \Phi_j(f;z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G', \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \tag{2.3}$$

where the linear differential operators of first order

$$\mathfrak{A}_j^k(z) = \sum_{\xi=1}^{k_1} u_{j\xi}(z)\,\partial_{z_\xi} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{k_2} u_{j\zeta_\tau}(z)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\tau}} \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G,$$

the indexes $\zeta_\tau \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $\tau = 1,\dots,k_2$, the functions

$$\Phi_j(0;z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G, \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

Let the system of identities (2.3) hold. Then the functions from the sets

$$\big\{u_{j1}(z),\dots,u_{jk_1}(z), u_{j\zeta_1}(z),\dots,u_{j\zeta_{k_2}}(z)\big\}, \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \tag{2.4}$$

are linearly bound on the integral manifold

$$f({}^k z) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore the Wronskians of the functions from the sets (2.4) with respect to z_γ, $\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}$, $\gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n$, $\delta = k_2+1,\dots,n$ vanish identically on the manifold (2.5), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{z_\gamma}\big({}^\lambda u^j(z)\big) = \overset{*}{\Psi}_{j\gamma}(f;z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G, \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \ \gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n, \\ &W_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}}\big({}^\lambda u^j(z)\big) = \overset{**}{\Psi}_{j\zeta_\delta}(f;z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G, \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \ \delta = k_2+1,\dots,n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where W_{z_γ} and $W_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}}$ are the Wronskians with respect to z_γ and to $\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}$ respectively, the functions $\overset{*}{\Psi}_{j\gamma} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$, $\overset{**}{\Psi}_{j\zeta_\delta} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on the domain G and $\overset{*}{\Psi}_{j\gamma}(0;z) \equiv 0$, $\overset{**}{\Psi}_{j\zeta_\delta}(0;z) \equiv 0$, $\gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n$, $\delta = k_2+1,\dots,n$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, the number $\lambda = k_1+k_2$, the functions ${}^\lambda u^j \colon z \to \big(u_{j1}(z),\dots,u_{jk_1}(z), u_{j\,\zeta_1}(z),\dots,u_{j\,\zeta_{k_2}}(z)\big)$ for all $z \in G$, $j = 1,\dots,m$.

Thus the following statements are valid.

Theorem 2.1. *For the system of partial differential equations* (2.1) *to have an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality partial integral of the form* (2.2) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (2.6) *be consistent.*

Corollary 2.1. *For the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations* (2.1) *to have an* $(n-k_1, n)$*-cylindricality holomorphic partial integral of the form* (2.2) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (2.6) *with* $k_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 2.2. *For the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations* (2.1) *to have an* $(n, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality antiholomorphic partial integral of the form* (2.2) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (2.6) *with* $k_1 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Let the system (2.1) satisfy conditions (2.6). Let us write out the system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
{}^{\lambda}\varphi\big({}^{\lambda}u^j(z)\big)^T = H_j(f;z), \quad j=1,\dots,m, \\
{}^{\lambda}\varphi\big(\partial^l_{z_\gamma}\,{}^{\lambda}u^j(z)\big)^T = \partial^l_{z_\gamma} H_j(f;z), \quad l=1,\dots,\lambda-1, \ \gamma=k_1+1,\dots,n, \quad j=1,\dots,m, \qquad (2.7)\\
{}^{\lambda}\varphi\big(\partial^l_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}}\,{}^{\lambda}u^j(z)\big)^T = \partial^l_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}} H_j(f;z), \quad l=1,\dots,\lambda-1, \ \delta=k_2+1,\dots,n, \quad j=1,\dots,m,
\end{gathered}
$$

where the vector functions

$$
{}^{k_1}\varphi\colon z \to \big(\varphi_{1k_1}({}^kz),\dots,\varphi_{k_1k_1}({}^kz)\big), \qquad {}^{k_2}\varphi\colon z \to \big(\varphi_{1k_2}({}^kz),\dots,\varphi_{k_2k_2}({}^kz)\big),
$$

$$
{}^{\lambda}\varphi\colon z \to \big({}^{k_1}\varphi(z), {}^{k_2}\varphi(z)\big) \quad \text{for all } \ z\in G,
$$

the scalar functions $H_j\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on the domain G and $H_j(0;z)=0$ for all $z\in G$, $j=1,\dots,m$.

Let us introduce the Pfaffian differential equation

$$
{}^{k_1}\varphi({}^kz)\,d\,{}^{k_1}z \ + \ {}^{k_2}\varphi({}^kz)\,d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}z} \ = \ 0, \tag{2.8}
$$

where the vector columns $d\,{}^{k_1}z = \operatorname{colon}(dz_1,\dots,dz_{k_1})$, $d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}z} = \operatorname{colon}\big(d\,\overline{z}_{\zeta_1},\dots,d\,\overline{z}_{\zeta_{k_2}}\big)$.

Theorem 2.2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the partial differential system* (2.1) *to have at least one $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integral of the form* (2.2) *is that the functions* ${}^{\lambda}\varphi\colon G\to\mathbb{C}^{\lambda}$ *and* $H_j\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$, $j=1,\dots,m$, *exist so that they satisfy system* (2.7) *and*

(i) *the Pfaff equation* (2.8) *has an integrating factor*;

(ii) *the function* (2.2) *is a general integral of the Pfaffian equation* (2.8).

Proof. Necessity. Let the partial differential system (2.1) have a $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable partial integral of the form (2.2). Then the identity (2.3) holds. The vector functions

$$
{}^{k_1}\varphi\colon z \to \partial_{{}^{k_1}z} f({}^kz) \quad \text{for all } \ z\in G', \qquad {}^{k_2}\varphi\colon z \to \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}} f({}^kz) \quad \text{for all } \ z\in G',
$$

where $\partial_{{}^{k_1}z} = (\partial_{z_1},\dots,\partial_{z_{k_1}})$, $\partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}} = \big(\partial_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_1}},\dots,\partial_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_{k_2}}}\big)$, is a solution to system (2.7), which can be shown by differentiating (2.3) $\lambda-1$ times with respect to z_γ, $\gamma=k_1+1,\dots,n$, and $\lambda-1$ times with respect to $\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}$, $\delta=k_2+1,\dots,n$. Therefore the scalar function (2.2) is a general integral of the Pfaffian differential equation (2.8).

Sufficiency. Let ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ be a solution to system (2.7), and let the corresponding Pfaff equation (2.8) have an integrating factor $\mu\colon {}^kz\to\mu({}^kz)$ and the corresponding general integral (2.2). Then

$$
\partial_{{}^{k_1}z} f({}^kz) \ - \ \mu({}^kz)\,{}^{k_1}\varphi({}^kz) = 0, \qquad \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}} f({}^kz) \ - \ \mu({}^kz)\,{}^{k_2}\varphi({}^kz) = 0. \tag{2.9}
$$

It follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that identity (2.3) is valid with

$$
\Phi_j(f;z) = \mu({}^kz)\,H_j(f;z) \quad \text{for all } \ z\in G', \quad j=1,\dots,m.
$$

Consequently the function (2.2) is a partial integral of the system (2.1). ■

Consider the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations

$$\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1,z_2)\,u=0, \qquad \mathfrak{A}_2(z_1,z_2)\,u=0, \tag{2.10}$$

where the linear differential operators of first order

$$\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1,z_2)=z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\,\partial_{z_1}+z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\,\partial_{z_2}+(z_1^2+z_2^2+\overline{z}_1^2+\overline{z}_2^2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1}+(z_1^2-z_2^2+\overline{z}_1^2-\overline{z}_2^2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2},$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_2(z_1,z_2)=z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{z_1}+z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{z_2}+(z_1^2-z_2^2+\overline{z}_1^2-\overline{z}_2^2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1}+(z_1^2+z_2^2+\overline{z}_1^2+\overline{z}_2^2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2}$$

for all $(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let us find a (0,2)-cylindricality holomorphic partial integral of system (2.10). The Wronskians of the sets of functions $U_1=\{z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1),z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\}$ and $U_2=\{z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2),z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\}$ with respect to $\overline{z}_1$ and $\overline{z}_2$ vanish identically on the space $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$W_{\overline{z}_1}(z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1),z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1))=\begin{vmatrix} z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1) & z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\\ z_1 & z_2\end{vmatrix}=0 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$W_{\overline{z}_2}(z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1),z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1))=0 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$W_{\overline{z}_1}(z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2),z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2))=\begin{vmatrix} z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2) & z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\\ z_1 & z_2\end{vmatrix}=0 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$W_{\overline{z}_2}(z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2),z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2))=\begin{vmatrix} z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2) & z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\\ z_1 & z_2\end{vmatrix}=0 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2.$$

Therefore the necessary conditions for the partial differential system (2.10) to have an holomorphic partial integral is complied (Corollary 2.1).

Let us write the functional system (2.7):

$$z_1(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\,\varphi_1+z_2(z_2+\overline{z}_1)\,\varphi_2=(z_1+z_2)(z_2+\overline{z}_1), \qquad z_1\,\varphi_1+z_2\,\varphi_2=z_1+z_2,$$

$$z_1(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_1+z_2(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_2=(z_1+z_2)(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2), \qquad z_1\,\varphi_1+z_2\,\varphi_2=z_1+z_2,$$

where $H_1\colon (z_1,z_2)\to(z_1+z_2)(z_2+\overline{z}_1)$, $H_2\colon (z_1,z_2)\to(z_1+z_2)(\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)$ for all $(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$.

The functions $\varphi_1\colon (z_1,z_2)\to 1$ for all $(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$, $\varphi_2\colon (z_1,z_2)\to 1$ for all $(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ is a solution to this system. The corresponding Pfaffian differential equation

$$dz_1+dz_2=0$$

has the integrating factor $\mu\colon (z_1,z_2)\to 1$ for all $(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ and the general integral

$$f\colon (z_1,z_2)\to z_1+z_2 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2. \tag{2.11}$$

By Theorem 2.2, the function (2.11) is a holomorphic partial integral of system (2.10).

Theorem 2.3. *Let* h *systems* (2.7) *have* q *not linearly bound solutions*

$${}^{\lambda}\varphi^{\varepsilon}\colon z\to {}^{\lambda}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z) \quad \text{for all } z\in G', \quad \varepsilon=1,\dots,q, \tag{2.12}$$

for which the corresponding Pfaffian differential equations

$${}^{k_1}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z)\,d\,{}^{k_1}z \;+\; {}^{k_2}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z)\,d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}z} \;=\;0, \quad \varepsilon=1,\dots,q \tag{2.13}$$

have the general $\mathbb{R}$*-differentiable integrals* $f_{\varepsilon}\colon z\to f_{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z)$ *for all* $z\in G'$, $\varepsilon=1,\dots,q$. *Then these integrals are functionally independent.*

Proof. Using (2.9), we have

$$\partial_{{}^{k_1}z}\, f_\varepsilon({}^{k}z) = \mu_\varepsilon({}^{k}z)\,{}^{k_1}\varphi^\varepsilon({}^{k}z), \quad \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}}\, f_\varepsilon({}^{k}z) = \mu_\varepsilon({}^{k}z)\,{}^{k_2}\varphi^\varepsilon({}^{k}z) \ \text{ for all } \ z \in G', \quad \varepsilon = 1,\dots,q.$$

Therefore the Jacobi matrix $J(f_\varepsilon({}^{k}z); {}^{k}z) = \big\|{}^{k_1}\Phi({}^{k}z)\,{}^{k_2}\Phi({}^{k}z)\big\|$, where ${}^{k_1}\Phi = \big\|\mu_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon}_{\xi k_1}\big\|$ is a $(q \times k_1)$-matrix, ${}^{k_2}\Phi = \big\|\mu_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon}_{\tau k_2}\big\|$ is a $(q \times k_2)$-matrix. Since the solutions (2.12) are not linearly bound, it follows that $\operatorname{rank} J(f_\varepsilon({}^{k}z); {}^{k}z) = q$. Thus the general integrals of the Pfaffian differential equations (2.13) are functionally independent. ■

2.1.2. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality first integrals. Let the function

$$F\colon z \to F({}^{k}z) \ \text{ for all } \ z \in G' \tag{2.14}$$

be an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality first integral of system (2.1).

Then, in accordance with the criteria of an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral,

$$\mathfrak{A}_j^k\, F({}^{k}z) = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ z \in G', \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

Hence the Wronskians of the functions from the sets (2.4) vanish identically on the domain G, i.e., the system of identities (2.6) with

$$\overset{*}{\Psi}_{j\gamma}(z) = \overset{**}{\Psi}_{j\zeta_\delta}(z) = 0, \ \ \gamma = k_1+1,\dots,n, \ \delta = k_2+1,\dots,n, \ j = 1,\dots,m, \tag{2.15}$$

is consistent in G. Indeed, we obtain the following assertions.

Theorem 2.4. *For the partial differential system* (2.1) *to have an* $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality first integral of the form* (2.14) *it is necessary that* (2.6) *with* (2.15) *be consistent.*

Corollary 2.3. *For the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations* (2.1) *to have a holomorphic* $(n-k_1, n)$*-cylindricality first integral of the form* (2.14) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (2.6) *with* (2.15) *and* $k_2 = 0$ *be consistent.*

Corollary 2.4. *For the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations* (2.1) *to have an antiholomorphic* $(n, n-k_2)$*-cylindricality first integral of the form* (2.14) *it is necessary that the system of identities* (2.6) *with* (2.15) *and* $k_1 = 0$ *be consistent.*

The proof of the following statements is similar to those of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. *For the system* (2.1) *to have at least one first integral of the form* (2.14) *it is necessary and sufficient that there exist functions* ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ *satisfying to system* (2.7) *with* $H_j \equiv 0$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, *that the function* (2.14) *is a general integral of the Pfaffian equation* (2.8).

Theorem 2.6. *Let the system* (2.7) *with* $H_j \equiv 0, j = 1,\dots,m$ *has* q *not linearly bound solutions* (2.12) *such that the corresponding Pfaff equations* (2.13) *have the general integrals* $F_\varepsilon\colon z \to F_\varepsilon({}^{k}z)$ *for all* $z \in G'$, $\varepsilon = 1,\dots,q$. *Then these integrals are functionally independent.*

As an example, consider the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations

$$\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1, z_2)\, u = 0, \qquad \mathfrak{A}_2(z_1, z_2)\, u = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

where the linear differential operators of first order

$$\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1, z_2) = z_1\overline{z}_2\, \partial_{z_1} + (z_2^2 + \overline{z}_1^{\,2})\, \partial_{z_2} + (z_1 - z_2^2 + \overline{z}_1^{\,2} + \overline{z}_2^{\,2})\, \partial_{\overline{z}_1} - z_1^2\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2} \ \text{ for all } \ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_2(z_1, z_2) = \overline{z}_2^{\,2}\, \partial_{z_1} + (z_1 + z_2^2 + \overline{z}_1^{\,2} + \overline{z}_2^{\,2})\, \partial_{z_2} + (z_2^2 + \overline{z}_1)\, \partial_{\overline{z}_1} - z_1\overline{z}_2\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2} \ \text{ for all } \ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Let us find a (1,1)-cylindricality first integral of system (2.16) . The Wronskians of the sets of functions $U_1 = \{z_1\overline{z}_2, \, -z_1^2\}$ and $U_2 = \{\overline{z}_2^{\,2}, \, -z_1\overline{z}_2\}$ with respect to z_2 and $\overline{z}_1$ vanish identically on the space $\mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore the necessary conditions for system (2.16) to have an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable (1,1)-cylindricality first integral is complied (Theorem 2.4).

Let us write the functional system (2.7) with $H_1 \equiv 0,\ H_2 \equiv 0$:

$$z_1\overline{z}_2\,\varphi_1 - z_1^2\,\varphi_2 = 0, \qquad \overline{z}_2^{\,2}\,\varphi_1 - z_1\overline{z}_2\,\varphi_2 = 0,$$

$$\partial_{z_2}(z_1\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_1 + \partial_{z_2}(\,-\,z_1^2)\,\varphi_2 = 0, \qquad \partial_{z_2}(\overline{z}_2^{\,2})\,\varphi_1 + \partial_{z_2}(\,-\,z_1\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_2 = 0,$$

$$\partial_{\overline{z}_1}(z_1\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_1 + \partial_{\overline{z}_1}(\,-\,z_1^2)\,\varphi_2 = 0, \qquad \partial_{\overline{z}_1}(\overline{z}_2^{\,2})\,\varphi_1 + \partial_{\overline{z}_1}(\,-\,z_1\overline{z}_2)\,\varphi_2 = 0.$$

This system is reduced to the equation $\overline{z}_2\,\varphi_1 - z_1\,\varphi_2 = 0$. The scalar functions

$$\varphi_1\colon (z_1,z_2) \to z_1 \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2, \qquad \varphi_2\colon (z_1,z_2) \to \overline{z}_2 \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$$

is a solution to this equation. The corresponding Pfaffian differential equation

$$z_1\,dz_1 + \overline{z}_2\,d\,\overline{z}_2 = 0$$

has the general integral (Theorem 2.5)

$$F\colon (z_1,z_2) \to\ z_1^2 + \overline{z}_2^{\,2} \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2. \tag{2.17}$$

Since the Poisson bracket

$$\big[\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1,z_2),\mathfrak{A}_2(z_1,z_2)\big] =\ -\,\overline{z}_2(z_1^2+\overline{z}_2^{\,2})\,\partial_{z_1} + (\,-\,2z_1z_2 + 2z_1\overline{z}_1 + z_1\overline{z}_2 + 2\,\overline{z}_1^{\,2} - 2\,z_1^2\,\overline{z}_2\,-$$

$$-\ 2\,z_2\,\overline{z}_2^{\,2} - 4z_2^2\,\overline{z}_1 + 2\,\overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2^{\,2} + 2\,\overline{z}_1^{\,3})\,\partial_{z_2} + (z_1 + 2z_1z_2 - z_2^2 - \overline{z}_1^{\,2} + 2\,z_1\,\overline{z}_2^{\,2} + 4\,z_2^3\, +$$

$$+\ 4z_2\,\overline{z}_1^{\,2} + 2\,z_2\,\overline{z}_2^{\,2} - 2\,z_2^2\,\overline{z}_1)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1} + z_1(z_1^2+\overline{z}_2^{\,2})\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2} \quad \text{for all} \ \ (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2,$$

is not a linear combination on the space $\mathbb{C}^2$ of the operators $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$, we see that the linear homogeneous partial differential system (2.16) is not complete.

Thus the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable (1,1)-cylindricality first integral (2.17) is an integral basis on the space $\mathbb{C}^2$ of the incomplete system of partial differential equations (2.16).

2.1.3. $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality last multipliers. Suppose the system (2.1) has an $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable on the domain G' last multiplier

$$\mu\colon z \to\ \mu({}^{k}z) \quad \text{for all} \ \ z\in G'. \tag{2.18}$$

Then, in accordance with the criteria of an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multiplier,

$$\mathfrak{A}_j^{k}\,\mu({}^{k}z)\ +\ \mu({}^{k}z)\operatorname{div}u^j(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ z\in G', \quad j=1,\dots,m. \tag{2.19}$$

Using the methods of Subsubsection 2.1.1, we get the following statements.

Theorem 2.7. *For the partial differential system* (2.1) *to have an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multiplier of the form* (2.18) *it is necessary that the system of identities*

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{z_\gamma}\big({}^{\lambda}u^j(z), \operatorname{div}u^j(z)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ z\in G, \quad j=1,\dots,m, \ \ \gamma=k_1+1,\dots,n,\\ &W_{\overline{z}_{\zeta_\delta}}\big({}^{\lambda}u^j(z), \operatorname{div}u^j(z)\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ z\in G, \quad j=1,\dots,m, \ \ \delta=k_2+1,\dots,n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

be consistent on the domain G.

Corollary 2.5. *For the system* (2.1) *to have a holomorphic $(n-k_1,n)$-cylindricality last multiplier of the form* (2.18) *it is necessary that* (2.20) *with $k_2=0$ be consistent.*

Corollary 2.6. *For the system* (2.1) *to have an antiholomorphic $(n,n-k_2)$-cylindricality last multiplier of the form* (2.18) *it is necessary that* (2.20) *with $k_1=0$ be consistent.*

Theorem 2.8. *For the system* (2.1) *to have at least one last multiplier of the form* (2.18) *it is necessary and sufficient that there exist function ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ satisfying system* (2.7) *with*

$$H_j\colon z \to\ -\operatorname{div}u^j(z) \quad \textit{for all} \ \ z\in G, \quad j=1,\dots,m, \tag{2.21}$$

such that the Pfaffian equation (2.8) *has the integrating factor* $\nu\colon {}^{k}z \to 1$ *for all* $z \in G'$; *in this case the last multiplier is given by*

$$\mu\colon z \to \ \exp g({}^{k}z) \quad \textit{for all } \ z \in G', \tag{2.22}$$

where the function $g\colon z \to \int {}^{k_1}\varphi({}^{k}z)\, d^{k_1}z \, + \, {}^{k_2}\varphi({}^{k}z)\, d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}z}$ *for all* $z \in G'$.

Proof. Necessity. Let the function (2.18) be an $(n-k_1, n-k_2)$-cylindricality $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable last multiplier of the partial differential system (2.1). Then the vector functions

$$ {}^{k_1}\varphi\colon z \to \partial_{{}^{k_1}z} \ln \mu({}^{k}z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G', \qquad {}^{k_2}\varphi\colon z \to \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}} \ln \mu({}^{k}z) \quad \text{for all } \ z \in G' $$

are a solution to system (2.7). This implies that the function $\nu\colon {}^{k}z \to 1$ for all $z \in G'$ is an integrating factor of the Pfaffian differential equation (2.8).

Sufficiency. Let ${}^{\lambda}\varphi$ be a solution to system (2.7) with (2.21) and let $\nu\colon {}^{k}z \to 1$ be an integrating factor of the corresponding Pfaffian differential equation (2.8). Then

$$\partial_{{}^{k_1}z}\, g({}^{k}z) \, - \, {}^{k_1}\varphi({}^{k}z) = 0, \qquad \partial_{\overline{{}^{k_2}z}}\, g({}^{k}z) \, - \, {}^{k_2}\varphi({}^{k}z) = 0.$$

Using (2.7) with (2.21), we have the identity (2.19) is valid. This yields that the scalar function (2.22) is a last multiplier of the partial differential system (2.1). ■

For example, consider the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations

$$\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1, z_2)\, u = 0, \qquad \mathfrak{A}_2(z_1, z_2)\, u = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

where the linear differential operators $\mathfrak{A}_1(z_1, z_2) = z_2\,\overline{z}_2\,\partial_{z_1} + \overline{z}_1^{\,2}\,\partial_{z_2} + z_1\,\overline{z}_2\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1} + \overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2}$ for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathfrak{A}_2(z_1, z_2) = \overline{z}_2^{\,2}\,\partial_{z_1} + \overline{z}_1^{\,2}\,\partial_{z_2} + z_2\,\overline{z}_2\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1} + z_1\,\overline{z}_2\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2}$ for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Let us find a (2,1)-cylindricality antiholomorphic last multiplier of system (2.23).

The divergences $\operatorname{div} u^1(z_1, z_2) = \partial_{z_1}(z_2\,\overline{z}_2) + \partial_{z_2}(\overline{z}_1^{\,2}) + \partial_{\overline{z}_1}(z_1\,\overline{z}_2) + \partial_{\overline{z}_2}(\overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2) = \overline{z}_1$ and $\operatorname{div} u^2(z_1, z_2) = \partial_{z_1}(\overline{z}_2^{\,2}) + \partial_{z_2}(\overline{z}_1^{\,2}) + \partial_{\overline{z}_1}(z_2\,\overline{z}_2) + \partial_{\overline{z}_2}(z_1\,\overline{z}_2) = z_1$ for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

The Wronskians of the sets of functions $U_1 = \{\overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2, \overline{z}_1\}$ and $U_2 = \{z_1\overline{z}_2, z_1\}$ with respect to z_1, z_2, and $\overline{z}_1$ vanish identically on the space $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Therefore the necessary conditions for system (2.23) to have a (2,1)-cylindricality antiholomorphic last multiplier is complied (Corollary 2.6). Let us write the system (2.7) with (2.21):

$$\overline{z}_1\overline{z}_2\,\varphi_1 = \, -\,\overline{z}_1, \qquad z_1\overline{z}_2\,\varphi_1 = \, -\, z_1, \qquad \overline{z}_2\,\varphi_1 = \, -\, 1. \tag{2.24}$$

The function $\varphi_1\colon (z_1, z_2) \to -\dfrac{1}{\overline{z}_2}$ for all $(z_1, z_2) \in G'$, where a domain $G' \subset \{(z_1, z_2)\colon z_2 \neq 0\}$, is a solution to the system (2.24). By Theorem 2.8, the function

$$\mu\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \frac{1}{\overline{z}_2} \quad \text{for all } \ (z_1, z_2) \in G'$$

is a (2,1)-cylindricality antiholomorphic last multiplier on the domain G' of system (2.23).

Theorem 2.9. *Let the system* (2.7) *with* (2.21) *has* q *not linearly bound solutions* (2.12) *for which the corresponding Pfaffian differential equations* (2.13) *have the integrating factors* $\nu_\varepsilon({}^{k}z) = 1$ *for all* $z \in G'$, $\varepsilon = 1, \ldots, q$. *Then the last multiplies*

$$\mu_\varepsilon\colon z \to \exp \int {}^{k_1}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z)\, d^{k_1}z \, + \, {}^{k_2}\varphi^{\varepsilon}({}^{k}z)\, d\,\overline{{}^{k_2}z} \quad \textit{for all } \ z \in G', \quad \varepsilon = 1, \ldots, q$$

of system (2.1) *are functionally independent.*

The idea of the proof of Theorem 2.9 is similar to that one in Theorem 2.3.

2.2. First integrals of linear homogeneous system with $\mathbb{R}$-linear coefficients

Let us consider a linear homogeneous system of first-order partial differential equations

$$\mathfrak{L}_j(z)\, w \;=\; 0, \quad j=1,\ldots,m, \tag{2.25}$$

where the coefficients of the linear differential operators

$$\mathfrak{L}_j(z)=\sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\big(a_{j\xi}(z)\,\partial_{z_\xi}+a_{j,n+\xi}(z)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_\xi}\big) \ \text{ for all } \ z\in\mathbb{C}^n, \quad j=1,\ldots,m,$$

are the $\mathbb{R}$-linear [2, p. 21] functions

$$a_{jk}\colon z\to\sum_{\tau=1}^{n}\big(a_{jk\tau}\,z_\tau+a_{jk,n+\tau}\,\overline{z}_\tau\big) \ \text{ for all } \ z\in\mathbb{C}^n \ \ (a_{jkl}\in\mathbb{C}, \ l,k\!=\!1,\ldots,2n, \ j\!=\!1,\ldots,m).$$

Assume that the system (2.25) is related by the conditions in terms of the Poisson brackets

$$\big[\mathfrak{L}_j(z),\mathfrak{L}_\zeta(z)\big]=\mathfrak{O} \ \text{ for all } z\in\mathbb{C}^n, \ \ j=1,\ldots,m, \ \zeta=1,\ldots,m, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\mathfrak{O}$ is the null operator, i.e., the system (2.25) is *jacobian* [17, p. 523; 19, pp. 38 – 40].

An integral basis of the jacobian system (2.25) is $2n-m$ (the proof is similar to that one in [28, p. 70]) functionally independent $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals of system (2.25).

In this Subsection we study Darboux's problem of finding first integrals in case that partial integrals are known [29]. Using method of partial integrals [18; 19, pp. 161 – 311; 30 – 33], we obtain the spectral method [9] for building first integrals of the jacobian system (2.25).

2.2.1. $\mathbb{R}$-linear partial integral. The $\mathbb{R}$-linear function

$$p\colon z\to\sum_{\xi=1}^{n}\big(b_\xi z_\xi+b_{n+\xi}\overline{z}_\xi\big) \ \text{ for all } \ z\in\mathbb{C}^n \quad (b_l\in\mathbb{C}, \ l=1,\ldots,2n)$$

is a *partial integral* of the system (2.25) if and only if

$$\mathfrak{L}_j\, p(z)=p(z)\lambda^j \ \text{ for all } z\in\mathbb{C}^n, \quad \lambda^j\in\mathbb{C}, \ j=1,\ldots,m.$$

This system of identities is equivalent to the linear homogeneous system

$$\big(A_j-\lambda^j E\big)\, b=0, \quad j=1,\ldots,m, \tag{2.27}$$

where $A_j=\|a_{jkl}\|$, $j=1,\ldots,m$ are $2n\times 2n$-matrices, E is the $2n\times 2n$ identity matrix, $b=\operatorname{colon}(b_1,\ldots,b_{2n})$ is a vector column.

The conditions (2.26) for the partial differential system (2.25) are equivalent

$$A_jA_\zeta=A_\zeta A_j, \quad j=1,\ldots,m, \ \ \zeta=1,\ldots,m.$$

Then there exists a relation [34, pp. 193 – 194; 35] between eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the matrices A_j, $j=1,\ldots,m$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\nu\in\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a common eigenvector of the matrices A_j, $j=1,\ldots,m$. Then the function $p\colon z\to\nu\gamma$ for all $z\in\mathbb{C}^n$, where $\gamma=\operatorname{colon}(z_1,\ldots,z_n,\overline{z}_1,\ldots,\overline{z}_n)$, is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear partial integral of the system of partial differential equations* (2.25).

Proof. If ν is a common eigenvector of the matrices A_j, $j=1,\ldots,m$, then ν is a solution to system (2.27), where λ^j is an eigenvalue of the matrix A_j corresponding to the eigenvector ν. Therefore we obtain the identities

$$\mathfrak{L}_j\,\nu\gamma=\lambda^j\,\nu\gamma \ \text{ for all } \ z\in\mathbb{C}^n, \quad j=1,\ldots,m.$$

Thus the $\mathbb{R}$-linear function $p\colon z\to\nu\gamma$ for all $z\!\in\!\mathbb{C}^n$ is a partial integral of system (2.25). ■

2.2.2. $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals

Theorem 2.10. *Let ν^θ, $\theta = 1,\dots,m+1$, be common eigenvectors of the matrices A_j, $j = 1,\dots,m$. Then the system* (2.25) *has the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral*

$$F\colon z \to \prod_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \left(\nu^\theta \gamma\right)^{h_\theta} \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathrm{D}(F), \tag{2.28}$$

where $h_1,\dots,h_{m+1}$ is a nontrivial solution to the system $\sum\limits_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \lambda_\theta^j h_\theta = 0$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, and λ_θ^j are the eigenvalues of the matrices A_j, $j = 1,\dots,m$, corresponding to the common eigenvectors ν^θ, $\theta = 1,\dots,m+1$, respectively.

Proof. Suppose ν^θ are common eigenvectors of the matrices A_j corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_θ^j, $j = 1,\dots,m$, $\theta = 1,\dots,m+1$, respectively.

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that the $\mathbb{R}$-linear functions

$$p_\theta\colon z \to \nu^\theta \gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \theta = 1,\dots,m+1,$$

are partial integrals of the system of partial differential equations (2.25). Hence,

$$\mathfrak{L}_j\, \nu^\theta \gamma = \lambda_\theta^j\, \nu^\theta \gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \quad \theta = 1,\dots,m+1. \tag{2.29}$$

We form the function

$$F\colon z \to \prod_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \left(\nu^\theta \gamma\right)^{h_\theta} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where Ω is a domain (open arcwise connected set) in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $h_\theta, \theta = 1,\dots,m+1$, are complex numbers with $\sum\limits_{\theta=1}^{m+1} |h_\theta| \neq 0$. The Lie derivative of F by virtue of (2.25) is equal to

$$\mathfrak{L}_j F(z) = \prod_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \left(\nu^\theta \gamma\right)^{h_\theta - 1} \sum_{\theta=1}^{m+1} h_\theta \prod_{l=1, l\neq\theta}^{m+1} \left(\nu^l \gamma\right) \mathfrak{L}_j\, \nu^\theta \gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

Using (2.29), we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_j F(z) = \sum_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \lambda_\theta^j h_\theta F(z) \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

If $\sum\limits_{\theta=1}^{m+1} \lambda_\theta^j h_\theta = 0$, $j = 1,\dots m$, then the function (2.28) is an $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral of the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations (2.25). ■

Corollary 2.7. *Let ν^θ be common eigenvectors of the matrices A_j corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_θ^j, $j = 1,\dots,m$, $\theta = 1,\dots,m+1$, respectively. Then the linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations* (2.25) *has the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integral*

$$F_{12\dots m(m+1)}\colon z \to \prod_{\theta=1}^{m} \left(\nu^\theta \gamma\right)^{-\delta_\theta} \left(\nu^{m+1} \gamma\right)^{\delta} \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathrm{D}(F_{12\dots m(m+1)}),$$

where the determinants $\delta_\theta, \theta = 1,\dots,m$ are obtained by replacing the θ-th column of the determinant $\delta = \left|\lambda_\theta^j\right|$ by $\operatorname{colon}\left(\lambda_{m+1}^1,\dots,\lambda_{m+1}^m\right)$, respectively.

For example, the linear homogeneous system of first-order partial differential equations

$$-z_1\, \partial_{z_1} w + z_2\, \partial_{z_2} w + \overline{z}_2\, \partial_{\overline{z}_1} w + \overline{z}_1\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2} w = 0, \quad 2(\overline{z}_1 + \overline{z}_2)\, \partial_{z_2} w + z_2\, \partial_{\overline{z}_1} w + z_2\, \partial_{\overline{z}_2} w = 0 \tag{2.30}$$

has the commuting matrices

$$A_1 = \left\| \begin{matrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \left\| \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \right\|.$$

Therefore the system of partial differential equations (2.30) is jacobian.

The matrices A_1 and A_2 have the eigenvalues $\lambda_1^1 = 1,\ \lambda_2^1 = \lambda_3^1 = -1,\ \lambda_4^1 = 1$, and $\lambda_1^2 = -2$, $\lambda_2^2 = \lambda_3^2 = 0, \lambda_4^2 = 2$ corresponding to the eigenvectors $\nu^1 = (0, -1, 1, 1)$, $\nu^2 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $\nu^3 = (0, 0, 1, -1)$, $\nu^4 = (0, 1, 1, 1)$, respectively.

The solution to the system $h_{11} - h_{12} - h_{13} = 0,\ -2h_{11} = 0$ is $h_{11} = 0,\ h_{12} = -1,\ h_{13} = 1$.

The solution to the linear homogeneous system $h_{21} - h_{22} + h_{24} = 0,\ -2h_{21} + 2h_{24} = 0$ is $h_{21} = 1,\ h_{22} = 2,\ h_{24} = 1$.

The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable functions (by Theorem 2.10)

$$F_1\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \frac{\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2}{z_1} \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$F_2\colon (z_1, z_2) \to z_1^2 \bigl(z_2^2 - (\overline{z}_1 + \overline{z}_2)^2\bigr) \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \tag{2.32}$$

where a domain $\Omega \subset \{(z_1, z_2)\colon z_1 \neq 0\}$, are first integrals of the system (2.30).

The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable first integrals (2.31) and (2.32) are an integral basis of the jacobian linear homogeneous system of first-order partial differential equations (2.30).

From the entire set of partial differential equations (2.25), we extract the equation

$$\mathfrak{L}_\zeta(z)\, w = 0, \quad \zeta \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, \tag{2.25.ζ}$$

such that the matrix A_ζ has the smallest number of elementary divisors [34, p. 147].

Definition 2.1. *Let ν^{0l} be an eigenvector of the matrix A_ζ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_l^ζ with elementary divisor of multiplicity s_l. A non-zero vector $\nu^{\eta l} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is called a **generalized eigenvector of order η** for λ_l^ζ if and only if*

$$(A_\zeta - \lambda_l^\zeta E)\, \nu^{\eta l} = \eta\, \nu^{\eta - 1, l}, \quad \eta = 1, \ldots, s_l - 1, \tag{2.33}$$

where E is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix.

Using Lemma 2.1 and (2.33), we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{L}_\zeta\, \nu^{0l}\gamma = \lambda_l^\zeta\, \nu^{0l}\gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\ \mathfrak{L}_\zeta\, \nu^{\eta l}\gamma = \lambda_l^\zeta\, \nu^{\eta l}\gamma + \eta\, \nu^{\eta - 1, l}\gamma \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \eta = 1, \ldots, s_l - 1. \end{gathered} \tag{2.34}$$

The following lemma is needed for the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. *Let ν^{0l} be a common eigenvector of the matrices A_j corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_l^j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, respectively. Let $\nu^{\eta l}$, $\eta = 1, \ldots, s_l - 1$ be generalized eigenvectors of the matrix A_ζ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_l^ζ with elementary divisor of multiplicity s_l $(s_l \geqslant 2)$. If the partial diffrential equation (2.25.ζ) hasn't the first integrals*

$$F_{j\eta l}^\zeta\colon z \to \mathfrak{A}_j\, \Psi_{\eta l}^\zeta(z) \quad \textit{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j \neq \zeta, \quad \eta = 1, \ldots, s_l - 1, \tag{2.35}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{L}_\zeta\, \Psi_{\eta l}^\zeta(z) = \left[\begin{array}{ll} 1 & \textit{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad \eta = 1, \\ 0 & \textit{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad \eta = 2, \ldots, s_l - 1, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.36}$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_j\, \Psi_{\eta l}^\zeta(z) = \mu_{\eta l}^{j\zeta} = \text{const} \quad \textit{for all } z \in \Omega, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j \neq \zeta, \quad \eta = 1, \ldots, s_l - 1,$$

where $\Psi^{\zeta}_{\eta l}\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{C},\ \eta = 1,\dots,s_l-1,$ *is a solution to the system*

$$\nu^{\eta l}\gamma = \sum_{\delta=1}^{\eta}\binom{\eta-1}{\delta-1}\Psi^{\zeta}_{\delta l}(z)\,\nu^{\eta-\delta,l}\gamma, \quad \eta = 1,\dots,s_l-1, \quad \Omega\subset\{z\colon \nu^{0l}\gamma\neq 0\}. \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. The system (2.37) has the determinant $(\nu^{0l}\gamma)^{s_l-1}$. Therefore there exists the solution $\Psi^{\zeta}_{\eta l},\ \eta=1,\dots,s_l-1$ on a domain $\Omega\subset\{z\colon \nu^{0l}\gamma\neq 0\}$ of system (2.37).

The proof of the lemma is by induction on s_l.

For $s_l=2$ and $s_l=3$, the assertion (2.36) follows from (2.34).

Assume that (2.36) for $s_l=\varepsilon$ is true. Using (2.34) and (2.37), we get

$$\mathfrak{L}_\zeta\,\nu^{\varepsilon l}\gamma = \lambda^{\zeta}_{l}\sum_{\delta=1}^{\varepsilon}\binom{\varepsilon-1}{\delta-1}\Psi^{\zeta}_{\delta l}(z)\,\nu^{\varepsilon-\delta,\,l}\gamma + (\varepsilon-1)\sum_{\delta=1}^{\varepsilon-1}\binom{\varepsilon-2}{\delta-1}\Psi^{\zeta}_{\delta l}(z)\,\nu^{\varepsilon-\delta-1,\,l}\gamma \,+$$

$$+\,\nu^{\varepsilon-1,\,l}\gamma + \nu^{0l}\gamma\ \mathfrak{L}_\zeta\Psi^{\zeta}_{\varepsilon l}(z) \quad \text{for all } z\in\Omega.$$

Combining (2.37) for $\eta=\varepsilon-1$ and $\eta=\varepsilon$, (2.34) for $\eta=\varepsilon$, and $\nu^{0l}\gamma\not\equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{L}_\zeta\,\Psi^{\zeta}_{\varepsilon l}(z)=0 \quad \text{for all } z\in\Omega.$$

So by the principle of mathematical induction, the statement (2.36) is true for every natural number $s_l\geqslant 2$ and $\zeta\in\{1,\dots,m\}$.

Taking into account (2.32) and (2.35), we have the statement (2.36) is true for $j\neq\zeta$. ■

Theorem 2.11. *Let the assumptions of Lemma* 2.2 *with* $l=1,\dots r$ $\left(\sum\limits_{l=1}^{r}s_l\geqslant m+1\right)$ *hold. Then the jacobian system* (2.25) *has the* $\mathbb{R}$*-differentiable first integral*

$$F\colon z\to\prod_{\xi=1}^{\alpha}\left(\nu^{0\xi}\gamma\right)^{h_{0\xi}}\exp\sum_{q=1}^{\varepsilon_\xi}h_{q\xi}\Psi^{\zeta}_{q\xi}(z) \quad \textit{for all } z\in\Omega, \quad \Omega\subset \mathrm{D}(F), \tag{2.38}$$

where $\sum\limits_{\xi=1}^{\alpha}\varepsilon_\xi=m-\alpha+1,\ \varepsilon_\xi\leqslant s_\xi-1,\ \xi=1,\dots,\alpha,\ \alpha\leqslant r,$ *and* $h_{q\xi},\ q=0,\dots,\varepsilon_\xi,\ \xi=1,\dots,\alpha$ *is a nontrivial solution to the linear homogeneous system of equations*

$$\sum_{\xi=1}^{\alpha}\Big(\lambda^{j}_{\xi}\,h_{0\xi}+\sum_{q=1}^{\varepsilon_\xi}\mu^{j\zeta}_{q\xi}\,h_{q\xi}\Big)=0, \quad j=1,\dots,m.$$

Proof. The Lie derivative of the function (2.38) by virtue of (2.25) is equal to

$$\mathfrak{L}_j\,F(z)=\sum_{\xi=1}^{\alpha}\Big(\lambda^{j}_{\xi}h_{0\xi}+\sum_{q=1}^{\varepsilon_\xi}\mu^{j\zeta}_{q\xi}h_{q\xi}\Big)F(z) \quad \text{for all } z\in\Omega, \quad j=1,\dots,m.$$

If $\sum\limits_{\xi=1}^{\alpha}\Big(\lambda^{j}_{\xi}h_{0\xi}+\sum\limits_{q=1}^{\varepsilon_\xi}\mu^{j\zeta}_{q\xi}h_{q\xi}\Big)=0,\ j=1,\dots,m,$ then the $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable function (2.38) is a first integral of the jacobian system of partial differential equations (2.25). ■

As an example, the jacobian linear homogeneous system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{gathered} z_2\,\partial_{z_1}w+(2z_2-\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{z_2}w+(z_1-\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1}w+(-\,z_1+2\overline{z}_1+2\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2}w=0,\\ (2z_1-\overline{z}_1)\,\partial_{z_1}w+(-\,z_1+2z_2+\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{z_2}w+(-\,z_1+3\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_1}w+(z_2-3\overline{z}_1+\overline{z}_2)\,\partial_{\overline{z}_2}w=0 \end{gathered} \tag{2.39}$$

has the eigenvalue $\lambda^{1}_{1}=1$ with elementary divisor $(\lambda^{1}-1)^{4}$ corresponding to the eigenvector

$\nu^0 = (-1, 1, -1, 0)$ and to the generalized eigenvectors $\nu^1 = (1, 0, -1, -1)$, $\nu^2 = (1, -1, 3, 0)$, $\nu^3 = (-3, 0, 9, 9)$. The $\mathbb{R}$-differentiable functions (see the functional system (2.37))

$$\Psi^1_{11}\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \frac{z_1 - \overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2}{-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1} \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega,$$

$$\Psi^1_{21}\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \frac{(-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)(z_1 - z_2 + 3\overline{z}_1) - (z_1 - \overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2)^2}{(-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)^2} \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega,$$

$$\Psi^1_{31}\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \frac{1}{(-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)^3} \big((-3z_1 + 9\overline{z}_1 + 9\overline{z}_2)(-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)^2 -$$

$$-3(-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)(z_1 - \overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2)(z_1 - z_2 + 3\overline{z}_1) + 2(z_1 - \overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2)^3\big) \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega,$$

where a domain $\Omega \subset \{(z_1, z_2)\colon z_1 - z_2 + \overline{z}_1 \neq 0\}$.

The first integrals (by Theorem 2.11) of the jacobian system (2.39)

$$F_1\colon (z_1, z_2) \to \Psi^1_{21}(z_1, z_2) \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega$$

and

$$F_2\colon (z_1, z_2) \to (-z_1 + z_2 - \overline{z}_1)^2 \exp\big(-2\Psi^1_{11}(z_1, z_2) - \Psi^1_{31}(z_1, z_2)\big) \quad \text{for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega$$

are a basis of first integrals on the domain Ω of system (2.39).

References

[1] B.V. Shabat, *An introduction to complex analysis: functions of one variable* (Russian), Part 1, Nauka, Moscow, 1985.

[2] B.V. Shabat, *An introduction to complex analysis: functions of several variables* (Russian), Part 2, Nauka, Moscow, 1985.

[3] I.N. Vekya, *Generalized analytical functions* (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1959; English translation Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1962.

[4] G.N. Položii, *Generalization of theory of analytic functions of a complex variable* (Russian), Kiev State Univ., Kiev, 1965.

[5] É. I. Grudo, About one elliptic partial differential system with singular point at the point of coordinates, *Differential Equations* 3 (1967), No. 3, 485 – 495.

[6] V.Yu. Tyshchenko, About R-holomorphic solutions of partial differential equations system (Russian), *Vestnik of the Grodno State Univ.* Ser. 2, 2003, No. 1(19), 9 – 11.

[7] V.N. Gorbuzov and A.F. Pranevich, Integrals of R-linear systems of exact differentials (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* 48 (2004), No. 1, 49 – 52.

[8] V.N. Gorbuzov and A.F. Pranevich, First integrals of linear differential systems, *Preprint of the Cornell Univ.*, Ithaca, 2008, 37 p; arXiv: 0806.4155v1[math.CA] 25 Jun 2008.

[9] A.F. Pranevich, Integrals of partial differential systems with R-linear coefficients (Russian), *Vestnik of the Grodno State Univ.* Ser. 2, 2005, No. 1(31), 45 – 52.

[10] V.I. Mironenko, *Linear dependence of functions along solution of differential equations* (Russian), Belarusian State Univ., Minsk, 1981.

[11] V.N. Gorbuzov and V.Yu. Tyshchenko, On R-holomorphic solutions of a system of total differential equations (Russian), *Vestsi Nats. Akad. Navuk Belarusi*, Ser. fiz.-matem. Navuk, 1999, No. 3, 124 – 126.

[12] V.N. Gorbuzov and V.Yu. Tyshchenko, R-holomorphic solutions of a total differential equation, *Differential Equations* 35 (1999), No. 4, 447 – 452.

[13] A.F. Pranevich, R-*differentiate integrals of exact differential systems* (Russian), Dissert. Kand. fiz-mat. nauk (Ph.D Thesis), Grodno State Univ., Grodno, 2005.

[14] I.V. Gaishun, *Completely solvable many-dimensional differential equations* (Russian), Nayka and Texnika, Minsk, 1983.

[15] É. I. Grudo, Movable singular points of a first order completely solvable total differential equation, *Differential Equations* 9 (1973), No. 9, 1572 – 1582.

[16] V.N. Gorbuzov, *Integrals of systems of total differential equations* (Russian), Grodno State Univ., Grodno, 2005.

[17] E. Goursat, *Cours d'analyse mathematique*, Vol. 2, Paris (4th. ed. 1924). English translation: *A Course of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol II, Part Two: Differential Equations, Dover Public., New York, 1959.

[18] V.N. Gorbuzov, Particular integrals of real autonomous polynomial systems of exact differential equations (Russian), *J. Differential equations and control processes*, 2000, No. 2, 1-36 (http://www.neva.ru/journal).

[19] V.N. Gorbuzov, *Integrals of systems of differential equations* (Russian), Grodno State Univ., Grodno, 2006.

[20] V.N. Gorbuzov, Autonomy of a system of equations in total differentials (Russian), *Differential Equations* 34 (1998), No. 2, 153 – 160.

[21] V.N. Gorbuzov and A.F. Pranevich, Autonomy and cylindricality of R-differentiable integrals for systems in total differentials (Russian), *J. Differential equations and control processes*, 2008, No. 1, 35-49 (http://www.neva.ru/journal).

[22] N.G. Chebotarev, *The theory of Lie groups* (Russian), GITTL, Moscow-Leningrad, 1940.

[23] L.V. Ovsyannikov, Group analysis of differential equations (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1978.

[24] P.K. Rashevskii, *Geometric theory of partial differential equations* (Russian), GITTL, Moscow-Leningrad, 1947.

[25] V.N. Gorbuzov, *Mathematical Analysis*: *field theory* (Russian), Grodno State Univ., Grodno, 2000.

[26] D.V. Buslyuk, On integrals of system of partial differential equations (Russian), *Vestnik of the Grodno State Univ.* Ser. 2, 1999, No. 1, 21 – 25.

[27] A.F. Pranevich, R-differentiable integrals for systems of partial differential equations (Russian), Proceedings of Scientific Conference "*Herzen Readings*-2004" (Ed. V.F. Zaitsev), Russian State University of Pedagogic, Saint-Petersburg, Russia, 2004, 65-70.

[28] N.M. Gjunter, *First-order partial differential equations integration* (Russian), GTTI, Moscow-Leningrad, 1934.

[29] G. Darboux, Mémoire sur les équations differentielles algebriques du premier ordre et du premier degré, *Bull. Sc. Math.*, Vol. 2 (1878), 60-96, 123-144, 151-200.

[30] V.N. Gorbuzov and V.Yu. Tyshchenko, Particular integrals of systems of ordinary differential equations, *Math Sbornik*, Vol. 75, 1993, No. 2, 353-369.

[31] V.N. Gorbuzov, Autonomous integrals and Jacobi last factors for systems of ordinary differential equations, *Differential Equations*, Vol. 30, 1994, No. 6, 868-875.

[32] V. N. Gorbuzov, On the question of integrals and last multipliers of multidimensional differential systems with symmetries (Russian), *Vestsi Nats. Akad. Navuk Belarusi*, Ser. fiz.-matem. Navuk, 1996, No. 1, 20-24.

[33] V. N. Gorbuzov, Construction of first integrals and last multipliers for polynomial autonomous many-dimensional differential systems (Russian), *Differential Equations*, Vol. 34, 1998, No. 4, 562-564.

[34] F.R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices* (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1988.

[35] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.